arXiv:1612.07876v1 [math.DS] 23 Dec 2016

MULTIPLE PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR Γ-SYMMETRIC NEWTONIAN SYSTEMS

MIECZYSLAW DABKOWSKI, WIESLAW KRAWCEWICZ, YANLI LV, AND HAO-PIN WU

Abstract. The existence of periodic solutions in Γ-symmetric Newtonian systems $\ddot{x} = -\nabla f(x)$ can be effectively studied by means of the $\Gamma \times O(2)$-equivariant gradient degree with values in the Euler ring $U(\Gamma \times O(2))$. In this paper we show that in the case of Γ being a finite group, the Euler ring $U(\Gamma \times O(2))$ and the related basic degrees are effectively computable using Euler ring homomorphisms, the Burnside ring $A(\Gamma \times O(2))$, and the reduced $\Gamma \times O(2)$-degree with no free parameters. We present several examples of Newtonian systems with various symmetries, for which we show existence of multiple periodic solutions. We also provide exact value of the equivariant topological invariant for those problems.

1. Introduction

In this paper we are studying the existence of non-constant p-periodic solutions in Γ-symmetric Newtonian systems (here Γ is assumed to be a finite group) of the type

$$\ddot{x}(t) = -\nabla f(x(t)), \quad x(t) \in V, \tag{1}$$

where $V := \mathbb{R}^n$ is an orthogonal Γ-representation and $f : V \to \mathbb{R}$ a C^2-differentiable Γ-invariant function. By rescaling the time, this problem can be reduced to the following one-parameter system

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x}(t) = -\lambda^2 \nabla f(x(t)), \quad x(t) \in V, \\ x(0) = x(2\pi), \ \ \dot{x}(0) = \dot{x}(2\pi). \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Many methods applied to (1) were inspired by the Hamiltonian systems of type

$$\dot{x}(t) = J\nabla f(x(t)), \quad x(t) \in V \tag{3}$$

where $V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{Id} \\ \mathrm{Id} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the symplectic matrix, for which the existence of 2π-periodic solutions was intensively studied (see for example [1, 3, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 27, 28, 39, 40, 41, 43, 44, 45, 46, 50]). Similar methods were also developed for the system (1) (see [2, 10, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 58]). After K. Geba introduced the concept of the gradient equivariant degree (cf. [25]) leading to a development of new equivariant-theoretical methods, several interesting papers by A. Golebiewska, J. Fura, A. Ratajczak, W. Radzki, H. Ruan and S. Rybicki were published (cf. [23, 24, 29, 49, 52, 53, 54, 55]). These authors applied the $\Gamma \times SO(2)$-equivariant degree to study the existence of multiple 2π-periodic solutions to (1). However, there is a significant difference between the systems (1) and (3): the system (1) is time-reversible, so it leads to a variational problem with $\Gamma \times O(2)$-symmetries. As the equivariant gradient degree provides *full* equivariant topological classification of the critical set for the related to (1) variational functional $\mathscr{J} : H^1(S^1; V) \to \mathbb{R}$, it is important to consider the *full* symmetry group for $\mathscr{J}$. In addition, if the function f is even, then

2010 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:* 37J45, 37C25, 37C80, 37K05, 47H11, 55M25

† Corresponding author, E-mail: wieslaw@utdallas.edu.

Key Words and Phrases: Newtonian systems, symmetries, periodic solutions, Euler ring, Burnside ring, equivariant degree, variational problem

the associated with (1) functional $\mathscr{J}$ becomes $\Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times O(2)$-invariant. It is our strong conviction that in order to make the most efficient use of the equivariant degree methods, one cannot ignore symmetric properties of $\mathscr{J}$ for the sake of computational simplifications.

It seems that the main difficulty related to the usage of the G-equivariant gradient degree method is related to the topological sophistication of its definition. The G-equivariant gradient degree takes its values in the Euler ring $U(G)$, which was introduced by T. tom Dieck. In his monograph (cf. [17]) tom Dieck presented several cohomological formulae used for the computations of the ring multiplication in $U(G)$. However, in the case of an arbitrary compact Lie group G, these computations may constitute extraordinary challenges. Very often, the users of the G-equivariant degree theory are restricted by these computational obstacles only to certain (simpler or well worked out) types of symmetry groups G, leading inevitable to ignoring the full symmetry group for the related problem (cf. [26, 49, 6]). Notice that $U(G)$ is a generalization of the so-called Burnside ring $A(G)$, which is also a part of the ring $U(G)$. While the computations of $U(G)$ remain a difficult task, the Burnside ring $A(G)$ is relatively easy to describe. One should point out, that other algebraic structures were also used for the ranges of various versions of equivariant degrees. For instant the twisted $\Gamma \times S^1$-equivariant degree with one parameter is taking its values in the $A(\Gamma)$-module $A_1(\Gamma \times S^1)$ (see [5]) and the twisted $\Gamma \times \mathbb{T}^n$-equivariant degree with n-parameters is taking its values in $A(\Gamma)$-module $A_1(\Gamma \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ (see [11]). These additional structures, for which there is a well established computational base, can be used in order to simplify the computations of the Euler ring $U(G)$.

Consider the Euler ring homomorphism $\Psi : U(\Gamma \times O(2)) \to U(\Gamma \times SO(2))$ induced by the inclusion $i : \Gamma \times SO(2) \hookrightarrow \Gamma \times O(2)$ (cf. [17, 6]. In this paper we will show that in the case $G := \Gamma \times O(2)$ (where Γ is a finite group) the Euler ring $U(G)$ ring can be fully described by the means of the multiplication in the Burnside ring $A(G)$ and the homomorphism Ψ, using the known structure of the Euler ring $U(\Gamma \times SO(2))$ and the Burnside ring $A(\Gamma \times O(2))$ (see [49, 6] for more details). More precisely, one can obtain a complete set of relations for the multiplication in the Euler ring $U(\Gamma \times O(2))$. These relations can be effectively implemented in a special computer software designed for this type of groups. Since several computer programs are presently developed for the Euler ring $U(\Gamma \times SO(2))$ and for the Burnside ring $A(\Gamma \times O(2))$ (see `https://bitbucket.org/psistwu/gammao2` for the most recent updates), we believe that one can expect such programs for the computation of $U(\Gamma \times O(2))$ and other objects related to the equivariant degree theory.

Clearly, efficient applications of the gradient equivariant degree depend on more than just the structure of the Euler ring. For instance, in order to compute the gradient equivariant degrees of linear maps, one also needs a database composed of the so-called gradient basic degrees (which are simply the degrees of $-\mathrm{Id}$ on irreducible representations). Also it is possible to use a topological definition of the equivariant gradient degree (cf. [26]) one can also apply the Euler homomorphism for such computations (cf. [49, 6]). However, for a given compact Lie group G, there is also the so-called G-equivariant degree with no free parameter taking its values in the Burnside ring $A(G)$ (see [5, 7]) which can be considered as a part of the G-equivariant gradient degree. Consequently, all the algorithmic computational formulae for the G-equivariant degree with no free parameter can be used in order to obtain partial values of the G-equivariant gradient degree, in particular the gradient basic degrees. In this paper we will show that this information is sufficient to fully reconstruct the complete values of the gradient basic degrees in the case of $G = \Gamma \times O(2)$.

Finally, let us discuss the applications of the $\Gamma \times O(2)$-equivariant degree to the systems (1) and (2). In the case when it is possible to obtain *a priori* bounds for the 2π-periodic solutions of these equations, one can compute the $\Gamma \times O(2)$-equivariant invariant $\omega \in U(G)$ (which could be

for example a difference of the equivariant gradient degrees on large and small ball). Such cases are for example when the system (1) is asymptotically linear or satisfy a Nagumo-type growth condition. Then clearly the existence of non-trivial solutions (i.e. outside the small ball) can be concluded by the fact that $\omega \neq 0$. However, one can be also interested to predict the existence of multiple 2π-periodic solutions with different types of symmetry. In such a case, the coefficients of ω corresponding to the so-called *maximal orbit type* can provide the crucial information in order to formulate such results. But the *maximality* of such obit types implies that it is a generator of the Burnside ring $A(G)$, therefore it can actually be detected by the $\Gamma \times O(2)$-equivariant degree with no free parameter, which can be much easier computed than the equivariant gradient degree. Similar arguments apply to the system (2), which we can consider as a bifurcation problem with a parameter λ. More precisely, in this case we are looking for critical values λ_o of the parameter $\lambda > 0$, to which we can associate the $\Gamma \times O(2)$-equivariant gradient bifurcation invariants $\omega(\lambda_o) \in U(\Gamma \times O(2))$ classifying the bifurcation of 2π-periodic solutions from the zero solution. The existence and multiplicity of such bifurcating branches of 2π-periodic solutions can be described from the information contained in the invariants $\omega(\lambda_o)$. Consequently, all the essential information needed to establish the existence and multiplicity results for the systems (1) and (2) can be extracted from the $\Gamma \times O(2)$-equivariant degree (with no free parameter) of $\mathscr{J}$ which takes values in the Burnside ring $A(G)$. It is clear that the $\Gamma \times O(2)$-equivariant degree without free parameter can be easily computed (without getting entangled in complicated technical details), has similar properties and provides enough information for analyzing these problems.

Nevertheless, let us emphasize that only the equivariant invariants $\omega \in U(G)$ (without truncation of its coefficients) provide a complete equivariant topological classification for the related solution sets to (1) or (2).

To illustrate the usage and the computations of the associated with the systems (1) and (2) equivariant invariants, in section 7 we present several examples of symmetric Newtonian systems, for which the exact values of the associated invariants can be effectively computed. These computations are possible only with the assistance of computer programs in GAP (developed by H-P. Wu), which were developed for several different groups Γ (cf. [59]).

Acknowledgment. The two last authors acknowledge the support from National Science Foundation through grant DMS-1413223. The third author was also supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11301102).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present all the preliminary notions that will be used in the remaining parts of the paper. In this section we recall some basic notations and results relevant to this paper. In what follows G always stands for a compact Lie group and whenever we refer to H as a subgroup of G, i.e. $H \leq G$, we will assume that H is a closed subgroup of G.

2.1. **G-Actions, Sets $N(L,H)$, $N(L,H)/H$ and $N(L,H)/N(H)$.** Let $H \leq G$. We denote by $N(H)$ the normalizer of H in G and by $W(H) = N(H)/H$ the Weyl group of H. The symbol (H) will stand for the conjugacy class of H in G. We also will write $N_G(H)$, $W_G(H)$ and $(H)_G$ in order to clarify that H is considered as a subgroup of G. We also use the following notations:

$$\Phi(G) = \{(H) : H \text{ is a subgroup of } G\} \text{ and } \Phi_n(G) = \{(H) \in \Phi(G) : \dim W(H) = n\}.$$

The set $\Phi(G)$ has a natural partial order defined by $(H) \leq (K)$ iff $\exists g \in G \ gHg^{-1} \leq K$.

A topological space X equipped with a left (resp. right) G-action is called a *G-space* (resp. space-G) and if an action is not specified we assume that G acts from the left. For a G-space X

and $x \in X$, we denote by: $G_x := \{g \in G : gx = x\}$ – the *isotropy group* of x, $G(x) := \{gx : g \in G\}$ – the *orbit* of x, (G_x) — the *orbit type* of $x \in X$ For a subgroup $H \leq G$, we also put:

$$X_H := \{x \in X : G_x = H\};$$
$$X^H := \{x \in X : G_x \geq H\};$$
$$X_{(H)} := \{x \in X : \ (G_x) = (H)\};$$
$$X^{(H)} := \{x \in X : \ (G_x) \geq (H)\}$$

and we will denote

$$\Phi(G;X) := \{(G_x) : x \in X\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_n(G;X) := \Phi(G;X) \cap \Phi_n(G).$$

It is well-known, $W(H)$ acts on X^H and it acts freely on X_H. The orbit space of G acting on X will be denoted by X/G (we use $G\backslash X$ for G acting on the right).

Let G_1 and G_2 be compact Lie groups. Assume that X is a G_1-space and space-G_2 simultaneously and the following condition is satisfied:

$$g_1(xg_2) = (g_1x)g_2,$$

for all $x \in X$, $g_i \in G_i$, $i = 1, 2$ (i.e. we assume that the double action $G_1 \times X \times G_2 \to X$ is continuous). In this case, we say that X is a G_1-space-G_2. Clearly, X/G_1 is a space-G_2 while $G_2\backslash X$ is a G_1-space. For the double orbit spaces $G_2\backslash(X/G_1)$ and $(G_2\backslash X)/G_1$, we use the notation $G_2\backslash X/G_1$ since both double orbit spaces are homeomorphic. In particular, if $X = G$ and $H \leq G$ (resp. $L \leq G$) acts on G by left (resp. right) translations, G is an H-space-L. Moreover, G/H (resp. $L\backslash G$) can be identified with the set of left cosets $\{Hg : g \in G\}$ (resp. right cosets $\{gL : g \in G\}$), and L (resp. H) acts on G/H (resp. $L\backslash G$) as follows

$$(Hg)l = H(gl), l \in L(\text{resp.}h(gL) = (hg)L, (h \in H)).$$

In addition, $L\backslash G/H$ can be identified with the set of the corresponding double cosets. If we replace G by a G-invariant subset of G analogous observations can be applied.

Let $L \leq H \leq G$. Define

$$N(L,H) = \{g \in G : gLg^{-1} \leq H\}. \tag{4}$$

One can show (see [5], Lemma 2.55) that $\dim W(H) \leq \dim W(L)$ and $N(L,H)$ is a $N(H)$-space-$N(L)$ (cf. [6, 36]). Furthermore, $N(L,H)/H$ is space-$N(L)$, hence it is also a space-$W(L)$. If one takes a G-space G/H then:

(i) $(G/H)^L$ is $W(L)$-equivariantly diffeomorphic to $N(L,H)/H$;

(ii) $(G/H)^L$ contains finitely many $W(L)$-orbits (cf. [12], Corollary 5.7).

Consider set $N(L,H)/N(H)$ and let

$$n(L,H) = |N(L,H)/N(H)|,$$

where $|X|$ stands for the cardinality of X (cf. [35]). As it was shown in [5] (see Proposition 2.52), if $\dim W(L) = \dim W(H)$, then $n(L,H)$ is finite. Moreover, one can show (cf. [6]) that there is finite sequence $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$, of elements of G (where $g_1 = e$ is the identity of G), such that $N(L,H)$ is a disjoint union of $N(H)g_jN(L)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ (here $N(H)g_jN(L)$ denotes a double coset), i.e.

$$N(L,H) = N(H)g_1N(L) \sqcup N(H)g_2N(L) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup N(H)g_nN(L),$$

For more information about the numbers $n(L,H)$ and their properties we refer to [5].

For the equivariant topology background used in this paper, we refer to [17, 13, 36, 12, 5].

2.2. **Isotypical Decomposition .** As is well-known, any compact Lie group G admits countably many non-equivalent real (resp. complex) irreducible representations. Therefore, we will assume that a complete list of all real (resp. complex) irreducible G-representations $\mathcal{V}_i$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}_j$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots$) is given. Let V (resp. U) be a finite-dimensional real (resp. complex) G-representation and (without loss of generality) we may assume that V (resp. U) is an orthogonal (resp. unitary)) representation. Then, V (resp. U) decomposes into a direct sum

$$V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r, \tag{5}$$

$$(\text{resp. } U = U_0 \oplus U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_s\ ), \tag{6}$$

where each component V_i (resp. U_j) is *modeled* on the irreducible G-representation $\mathcal{V}_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, r$, (resp. $\mathcal{U}_j$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, s$), that is, V_i (resp. U_j) contains all the irreducible subrepresentations of V (resp. U) equivalent to $\mathcal{V}_i$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}_j$). We call such decomposition (5) (resp. (6)) a *G-isotypical decomposition of V* (resp. U).

Given an orthogonal G-representation V, denote by $\mathrm{L}^G(V)$ (resp. $\mathrm{GL}^G(V)$) the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra (resp. group) of all G-equivariant linear (resp. invertible) operators on V. Clearly, the isotypical decomposition (5) induces the following direct sum decomposition of $\mathrm{GL}^G(V)$:

$$\mathrm{GL}^G(V) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathrm{GL}^G(V_i),$$

where for every isotypical component V_i

$$\mathrm{GL}^G(V_i) \simeq \mathrm{GL}(m_i, \mathbb{F}), \quad m_i = \dim V_i / \dim \mathcal{V}_i$$

and depending on the type of the irreducible representation $\mathcal{V}_i$, $\mathbb{F}$ (= $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$) is a finite-dimensional division algebra $\mathrm{L}^G(\mathcal{V}_i)$.

Finally, for a linear operator $A \in \mathrm{L}^G(V)$ we will denote by $\sigma(A)$ the spectrum of A and for each $\mu \in \sigma(A)$ and $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, r$ we put

$$m_i(\mu) := \dim\left(E(\mu) \cap V_i\right)/\dim \mathcal{V}_i,$$

where V_i is given by (5) and $E(\mu)$ denotes the generalized eigenspace of μ. The number $m_i(\mu)$ will be called $\mathcal{V}_i$-multiplicity of μ.

3. Euler Ring and Related Algebraic Structures

3.1. **Euler Characteristic.** For a topological space Y, denote by $H_c^*(Y)$ the ring of Alexander-Spanier cohomology with compact support (see [56]). If $H_c^*(Y)$ is finitely generated then the Euler characteristic $\chi_c(Y)$ is correctly defined. For a compact CW-complex X and its closed subcomplex A, as it is very well-known $H_c^*(X \setminus A)) \cong H^*(X, A; \mathbb{R})$, where $H^*(\cdot)$ stands for a usual cellular cohomology ring (see [56], Chap. 6, Sect. 6, Lemma 11). Therefore, $\chi_c(X \setminus A)$ is correctly defined and

$$\chi(X) = \chi_c(X \setminus A) + \chi(A) = \chi(X, A) + \chi(A).$$

where $\chi(\cdot)$ stands for the Euler characteristic with respect to the cellular cohomology groups. Moreover, if Y a compact CW-complex, $B \subseteq Y$ its closed subcomplex and $p : X \setminus A \to Y \setminus B$ a locally trivial fibre bundle with path-connected base and fibre F which is a compact manifold, then (cf. [56], Chap. 9, Sect. 3, Theorem 1)

$$\chi_c(X \setminus A) = \chi(F)\chi_c(Y \setminus B).$$

Furthermore, for a compact G-ENR-space X, where $G = \mathbb{T}^n$ an n-dimensional torus ($n > 0$) one shows

$$\chi(X) = \chi(X^G), \tag{7}$$

and $X^G = \emptyset$, so $\chi(X) = 0$ (see [36, **?**]).

3.2. **Euler and Burnside Rings.** In this section we recall some basic properties of the Euler and Burnside Rings (cf. [17]).

Definition 3.1. (cf. [17]) Let $U(G) = \mathbb{Z}[\Phi(G)]$ be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis $\Phi(G)$ and χ_c denotes the Euler characteristic defined in terms of the Alexander-Spanier cohomology with compact support (cf. [56]). Define the *multiplication* on $U(G)$ as follows: for generators (H), $(K) \in \Phi(G)$ put:

$$(H) * (K) = \sum_{(L)\in\Phi(G)} n_L(L), \tag{8}$$

where

$$n_L := \chi_c((G/H \times G/K)_L/N(L)), \tag{9}$$

and we extend it linearly to the multiplication on entire $U(G)$. We call the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $U(G)$ equipped with multiplication (8) the *Euler ring* of the group G (cf. [13]).

Let $\Phi_0(G) = \{(H) \in \Phi(G) : \dim W(H) = 0\}$ and denote by $A(G) = \mathbb{Z}[\Phi_0(G)]$ a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis $\Phi_0(G)$. Define multiplication on $A(G)$ by restricting multiplication from $U(G)$ to $A(G)$, i.e. for (H), $(K) \in \Phi_0(G)$ let

$$(H) \cdot (K) = \sum_{(L)} n_L(L), \qquad (H), (K), (L) \in \Phi_0(G), \text{ where} \tag{10}$$

$$n_L = \chi((G/H \times G/K)_L/N(L)) = |(G/H \times G/K)_L/N(L)| \tag{11}$$

(χ here denotes the usual Euler characteristic). Then $A(G)$ with multiplication (10) becomes a ring which is called the *Burnside ring* of G. As it can be shown, the coefficients (11) can be found (cf. [5]) using the following recursive formula:

$$n_L = \frac{n(L,K)|W(K)|n(L,H)|W(H)| - \sum_{(\widetilde{L})>(L)} n(L,\widetilde{L})n_{\widetilde{L}}|W(\widetilde{L})|}{|W(L)|}, \tag{12}$$

where (H), (K), (L) and $(\widetilde{L})$ are taken from $\Phi_0(G)$.

Observe that although $A(G)$ is clearly a $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of $U(G)$, in general, it may **not** be a subring of $U(G)$.

Define $\pi_0 : U(G) \to A(G)$ as follows: for $(H) \in \Phi(G)$ let

$$\pi_0((H)) = \begin{cases} (H) & \text{if } (H) \in \Phi_0(G), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

The map π_0 defined by (13) is a ring homomorphism (cf. [6]), i.e.

$$\pi_0((H) * (K)) = \pi_0((H)) \cdot \pi_0((K)), \qquad (H), (K) \in \Phi(G).$$

The following well-known result (cf. [17], Proposition 1.14, page 231), shows a difference between the generators (H) of $U(G)$ and $A(G)$..

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $(H) \in \Phi_n(G)$.

(i) *If $n > 0$, then, $(H)^k = 0$ in $U(G)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. (H) is a nilpotent element in $U(G)$;*
(ii) *If $n = 0$, then $(H)^k \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

The multiplicative structure of $A(G)$ can be only partially used to determine the multiplication structure of $U(G)$. In subsection 5, we will discuss this idea in more details in the case of the group $G := \Gamma \times O(2)$ (with Γ being a finite group). .

3.3. **Euler Ring Homomorphism.** Let $\psi : G' \to G$ be a homomorphism of compact Lie groups. Then, the G' acts on the left on G by $g'x := \psi(g')x$ (a similarly $xg' := x\psi(g')$ defines the right action). In particular, for any subgroup $H \leq G$, the ψ induces a G'-action on G/H and the stabilizer of $gH \in G/H$ is given by

$$G'_{gH} = \psi^{-1}(gHg^{-1}). \tag{14}$$

Therefore, ψ induces a map $\Psi : U(G) \to U(G')$ defined by

$$\Psi((H)) = \sum_{(\tilde{H})\in\Phi(G')} \chi_c((G/H)_{(H')}/G')(H'). \tag{15}$$

Proposition 3.2. *(cf. [6, 17]) The map Ψ defined by (15) is a homomorphism of Euler rings.*

Let us recall the following fact about Euler Ring Homomorphism $\Psi : U(G) \to U(\mathbb{T}^n)$ where $\mathbb{T}^n$ is a maximal torus in G and $\psi : \mathbb{T}^n \to G$ is the natural embedding. Then we have (cf. [6]):

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathbb{T}^n$ be a maximal torus in G. Then,*

$$\Psi(\mathbb{T}^n) = |W(\mathbb{T}^n)|(\mathbb{T}^n) + \sum_{(\mathbb{T}')} n_{\mathbb{T}'}(\mathbb{T}'),$$

where $\mathbb{T}' = g\mathbb{T}^n g^{-1} \cap \mathbb{T}^n$ for some $g \in G$ and $(\mathbb{T}') \neq (\mathbb{T}^n)$.

The above Euler ring homomorphism $\Psi : U(G) \to U(\mathbb{T}^n)$ can sometimes be used to compute the multiplication tabled for the Euler ring $U(G)$.

Example 3.1. Let us consider the group $G = O(2)$. Then we have

$$\Phi_0(O(2)) = \{(O(2)), (SO(2)), (D_k); k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\},$$
$$\Phi_1(O(2)) = \{(\mathbb{Z}_k) : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

The structure of the Burnside ring $A(O(2))$ is well known (see for example [5]), therefore we can use it to obtain the following partial multiplication table in $U(O(2))$:

	$(O(2))$	$(SO(2))$	(D_n)	$(\mathbb{Z}_n)$
$(O(2))$	$(O(2))$	$(SO(2))$	(D_n)	$(\mathbb{Z}_n)$
$(SO(2))$	$(SO(2))$	$2(SO(2))$	$a(\mathbb{Z}_n)$	$b(\mathbb{Z}_n)$
(D_k)	(D_k)	$a(\mathbb{Z}_k)$	$2(D_l) + c(\mathbb{Z}_l)$	$x(\mathbb{Z}_l)$
$(\mathbb{Z}_k)$	$(\mathbb{Z}_k)$	$b(\mathbb{Z}_k)$	$x(\mathbb{Z}_l)$	$c(\mathbb{Z}_l)$

where $l := gcd(n, k)$ and the coefficients a, b, c, d, x, are unknown. In order to determine these coefficients, we will use the homomorphism $\Psi : U(O(2)) \to U(S^1)$. Notice that

$$\Psi(SO(2)) = 2(S^1), \quad \Psi(D_n) = (\mathbb{Z}_n), \quad \Psi(\mathbb{Z}_n) = 2(\mathbb{Z}_n).$$

Indeed, notice for example that

$$\frac{N(\mathbb{Z}_n, D_n)}{D_n}/S^1 = \frac{O(2)}{D_n}/S^1 = pt, \quad \text{thus } \chi\left(\frac{N(\mathbb{Z}_n, D_n)}{D_n}/S^1\right) = 1.$$

The structure of the Euler ring $U(S^1)$ is very simple: by (7) we have $(\mathbb{Z}_k) * (\mathbb{Z}_n) = 0$, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, by applying the homomorphism Ψ to the partial multiplication table we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
2(\mathbb{Z}_n) &= \Psi(SO(2)) * \Psi(D_n) = \Psi(a(\mathbb{Z}_n)) = a2(\mathbb{Z}_n),\\
4(\mathbb{Z}_n) &= \Psi(SO(2)) * \Psi(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \Psi(b(\mathbb{Z}_n)) = b2(\mathbb{Z}_n),\\
0 &= (\mathbb{Z}_k) * (\mathbb{Z}_n) = \Psi(D_k) * \Psi(D_n) = \Psi(2(D_l) + c(\mathbb{Z}_l)) = 2(\mathbb{Z}_l) + 2c(\mathbb{Z}_l),\\
0 &= 2(\mathbb{Z}_k) * (\mathbb{Z}_n) = \Psi(\mathbb{Z}_k) * \Psi(D_n) = \Psi(x(\mathbb{Z}_l)) = 2x(\mathbb{Z}_l),
\end{aligned}$$

and consequently we get the following multiplication table for $U(O(2))$:

	$(O(2))$	$(SO(2))$	(D_n)	$(\mathbb{Z}_n)$
$(O(2))$	$(O(2))$	$(SO(2))$	(D_n)	$(\mathbb{Z}_n)$
$(SO(2))$	$(SO(2))$	$2(SO(2))$	$(\mathbb{Z}_n)$	$2(\mathbb{Z}_n)$
(D_k)	(D_k)	$(\mathbb{Z}_k)$	$2(D_l) - (\mathbb{Z}_l)$	0
$(\mathbb{Z}_k)$	$(\mathbb{Z}_k)$	$2(\mathbb{Z}_k)$	0	0

4. Product Group $G_1 \times G_2$

In order to proceed further with computations of $U(G)$, where $G = \Gamma \times \mathrm{O}(2)$ and Γ is a finite group, we need to develop a description of subgroups its subgroups and conjugacy classes. For completeness of this paper, following [8], we will discuss a description of subgroups of $G \times G'$. The classical result, Goursat's Lemma allows to characterize all subgroups H of the direct product $G \times G'$ of groups G, G' in terms of the isomorphisms between their quotients. Namely, given $H \leq G \times G'$ there are two subgroups $K \leq G$, $K' \leq G'$, a group L, and a pair of epimorphisms $\varphi : H \to L$, $\varphi : H' \to L$ such that

$$H = \{(a, b) \in K \times K' \mid \varphi(a) = \psi(b)\}.$$

4.1. **Description of Subgroups in the Product Group $G_1 \times G_2$.** We start this section by recalling classical result known as Goursat's Lemma which characterizes subgroups of a direct product of groups.

Lemma 4.1 (Goursat's Lemma)**.** *Let G_1, G_2 be groups, and let H be a subgroup of $G_1 \times G_2$ such that the two projections $p_1 : H \to G_1$ and $p_2 : H \to G_2$ are surjective. Let $N_1 \trianglelefteq G_1$ be the kernel of p_2 and $N_2 \trianglelefteq G_2$ the kernel of p_1. Then the image of H in $G_1/N_1 \times G_2/N_2$ is the graph of an isomorphism $G_1/N_1 \simeq G_2/N_2$.*

The above statement gives descriptions of subgroups $H \leq G_1 \times G_2$ in terms of graphs of isomorphisms $G_1/N_1 \simeq G_2/N_2$. Since description of subgroups above is a rather difficult to use practically, we will use reformulation of Goursat's Lemma. Let $H \leq G_1 \times G_2$ and $p_i : G_1 \times G_2 \to G_i$, $i = 1, 2$ be natural projections,

$$K_i = p_i(H) \leq G_i, \ i = 1, \ 2. \tag{16}$$

and $\pi_i : H \to K_i \leq G_i$, given by $\pi_i(g) = p_i(g)$ be the be restriction of p_i to H, $i = 1, 2$. Let

$$H_1 = \pi_1(\operatorname{Ker} \pi_2) \text{ and } H_2 = \pi_2(\operatorname{Ker} \pi_1). \tag{17}$$

Theorem 4.1. *If $H \leq G_1 \times G_2$ there exist a group L and epimorphisms $\varphi_i : K_i \to L$, where K_1 and K_2 are given by (16) and (17) such that*

$$H = \{(k_1, k_2) \in K_1 \times K_2 \mid \varphi_1(k_1) = \varphi_2(k_2)\}. \tag{18}$$

Definition 4.1. Let L be a group, $K_i \leq G_i$, $\varphi_i : K_i \to L$ be epimorphisms, $i = 1, 2$. The subdirectpoduct of subgroups K_1 and K_2 and subgroup L is defined as follows

$$K_1{}^{\varphi_1}\times_L^{\varphi_2} K_2 = \{(k_1,\ k_2) \in K_1 \times K_2 \mid \varphi_1(k_1) = \varphi_2(k_2)\} \tag{19}$$

As we mentioned it before, for subgroups $K_i \leq G_i$ $i = 1, 2$ and a given group L the description of the group

$$H = \{(k_1, k_2) \in K_1 \times K_2 \mid \varphi_1(k_1) = \varphi_2(k_2)\}$$

in the form $K_1{}^{\varphi_1}\times_L^{\varphi_2} K_2$ is not unique. In fact, as we observed we have the following.

Proposition 4.1. *Let L be a group, $K_i \leq G_i$ subgroups given by given by* (16) *and* (17) *and*

$$\varphi_1,\ \varphi_2 : K_1 \to L \text{ and } \psi_1,\ \psi_2 : K_2 \to L$$

be epimorphisms. Then

$$K_1{}^{\varphi_1}\times_L^{\psi_1} K_2 = K_1{}^{\varphi_2}\times_L^{\psi_2} K_2$$

if and only if there exists an automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(L)$, such that $\varphi_1 = \sigma \circ \varphi_2$ and $\psi_1 = \sigma \circ \psi_2$.

Let G_1 and G_2 be two subgroups and consider the product group and $G_1 \times G_2$. We define the following projection homomorphisms:

$$\pi_1 : G_1 \times G_2 \to G_1; \quad \pi_1(g_1, g_2) = g_1,$$
$$\pi_2 : G_1 \times G_2 \to G_2 \quad \pi_2(g_1, g_2) = g_2,$$

and the embedding homomorphisms:

$$i_1 : G_1 \to G_1 \times G_2 \quad i_1(g_1) = (g_1, e)$$
$$i_2 : G_2 \to G_1 \times G_2 \quad i_2(g_2) = (e, g_2).$$

Then we have the following result describing all the subgroups H of the product $G_1 \times G_2$.

Theorem 4.2. *There is a bijection correspondence between subgroups H of $G_1 \times G_2$ and 5-tuples $(H_1, H_0, K_1, K_0, \theta)$, where H is a subgroup of H_o (resp. K is a subgroup of $\mathscr{G}_2$), H_o is a normal subgroup of H (resp. K_o is a normal subgroup of K) and $\theta : H/H_o \to K/K_o$ is an isomorphism, given by the relation*

$$\mathscr{H} := \{(h, k) \in H \times K : \theta \circ p_1(h) = p_2(k)\}, \tag{20}$$

where $p_1 : H \to H/H_o$ and $p_2 : K \to K/K_o$ are natural projections.

Suppose that $\mathscr{H}$ is a subgroup of $\mathscr{G}_1 \times \mathscr{G}_2$. Put

$$H := \pi_1(\mathscr{H}), \quad H_o := i_1^{-1}(\mathscr{H})$$
$$K := \pi_2(\mathscr{H}), \quad K_o := i_2^{-1}(\mathscr{H}).$$

Clearly, H_o is normal in H. Indeed, Notice that H_o is normal in H. Indeed, let $h' \in H_o$ and $h \in H$, thus by the definition $(h', e) \in \mathscr{H}$ and $(h, k) \in \mathscr{H}$ for some $k \in \mathscr{G}_1$. Thus $(h, k)(h', e)(h, k)^{-1} \in \mathscr{H}$ and since

$$(h, k)(h', e)(h, k)^{-1} = (h, k)\,(h', e)\,(h^{-1}, k^{-1}) = (hh'h^{-1}, kek^{-1}) = (hh'h^{-1}, e) \in \mathscr{H},$$

which implies $(h, k)(h', e)(h, k)^{-1} \in H_o$. Similarly, one can show that K_o is normal in K. The situation is illustrated on Figure 4.1.

Since $H_o \trianglelefteq H$ and $K_o \trianglelefteq K$, we can consider the quotient subgroups H/H_o and K/K_o. We claim that there exists a natural isomorphism $\theta : H_o \trianglelefteq H \to K_o \trianglelefteq K$. Indeed, For $\overline{h} := H_o h \in H/H_o$



FIGURE 1. Commutative diagram used for the construction of the isomorphism $\theta : H/H_o \to K/K_o$.

choose $k \in K$ such that $(h,k) \in \mathscr{H}$ and put $\theta(\overline{h}) = \overline{k}$, We need to show that this definition doesn't depend on the choice of k and that θ is an isomorphism. Indeed, put

$$\overline{h} = H_o h, \quad \overline{k} = K_o k, \quad \overline{h'} = H_o h', \quad \overline{k'} = K_o k',$$

then if $(h,k) \in \mathscr{H}$ and $(h,k') \in \mathscr{H}$, this $\widetilde{k} = {k'}^{-1} k \in K_o$, which implies that the above definition doesn't depend on the choice of k. Similarly, if $\overline{h} = \overline{h'}$, then $h' = \widetilde{h} h$ for some $\widetilde{h} \in H_o$ and since $(\widetilde{h}, e) \in \mathscr{H}$ and $(h,k) \in \mathscr{H}$ implies $(h',k) \in \mathscr{H}$, it follows that $\theta(\overline{h}) = \theta(\overline{h'}$. It can be easily verified that θ is a homomorphism. Suppose now that $\theta(\overline{h}) = K_o$, i.e. there is $\widetilde{k} \in K_o$ such that $(h, \widetilde{k}) \in \mathscr{H}$, then since $(h,e) = (h,\widetilde{k})(e, \widetilde{k}^{-1}) \in \mathscr{H}$, it follows that $\pi(h,\widetilde{k}) \in H_o$, which implies $\theta(\overline{h}) = H_o$, and consequently θ is injective. On the other hand, for for every $\overline{k} \in K/K_o$, there is $h \in \mathscr{G}_1$ such that $(h,k) \in \mathscr{H}$, it is clear that $\theta(\overline{h}) = \overline{k}$, which concludes the argument that θ is an isomorphism.

It is also clear from the construction that the 5-tuples $(H.H_o, K, K_o, \theta)$ is uniquely determined and $\mathscr{H} := \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \theta \circ p_1(h) = p_2(k)\}$.

Corollary 4.1. *There is a bijection correspondence between subgroups $\mathscr{H}$ of $\mathscr{G}_1 \times \mathscr{G}_2$ and 4-tuples (H, K, K_o, φ), where H is a subgroup of $\mathscr{H}_o$ (resp. K is a subgroup of $\mathscr{G}_2$), H_o is a normal subgroup of H and $\varphi : H \to K/K_o$ is an epimorphism, given by the relation*

$$\mathscr{H} = \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \varphi(h) = p_2(k)\},$$

where $p_2 : K \to K/K_o$ is a natural projection.

It is clear that one can assign to a 5-tuples (H, H_o, K, K_o, θ) (described in Theorem 4.2) the 4-tuples (H, K, K_o, φ), where $\varphi := \theta \circ \pi_1$. Conversely, for an arbitrary(H, K, K_o, φ) where H is a subgroup of $\mathscr{H}_o$ (resp. K is a subgroup of $\mathscr{G}_2$), H_o is a normal subgroup of H and $\varphi : H \to K/K_o$ is an epimorphism, we have the following subgroup of $\mathscr{G}_1 \times \mathscr{G}_2$

$$\mathscr{H} := \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \varphi(h) = p_2(k)\},$$

Notice that $\pi_1(\mathscr{H}) = H$ and $H_o := i_1(H) = \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ (since $i_1(h) = p_2(e) = e$), and clearly, according to the definition of θ, we have by isomorphism theorem

$$\theta(\overline{h}) = \overline{k}, \ \ (h,k) \in \mathscr{H} \ \ \Leftrightarrow \ \ \overline{h} = \operatorname{Ker} \varphi \, h \ \text{ and } \ \varphi(h) = \overline{k}.$$

where $\overline{h} := H_o h$ and $\overline{k} := K_o k$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (H, H_o, K, K_o, θ) be 5-tuples such that H is a subgroup of $\mathscr{H}_o$ (resp. K is a subgroup of $\mathscr{G}_2$), H_o is a normal subgroup of H (resp. K_o is a normal subgroup of K) and $\theta : H/H_o \to K/K_o$ is an isomorphism. Put $\mathscr{H}_o = H_o \times K_o$ and denote by $\pi : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{H}_o$ the natural projection. Then there exist natural epimorphisms $\alpha_1 : \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{H}_o \to H/H_o$ and $\alpha_2 : \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{H}_o \to K/K_o$ such that for all $(h,k) \in \mathscr{H}$*

$$p_1 \circ \pi_1(h,k) = \alpha_1 \circ \pi(h,k), \qquad \text{and} \qquad p_2 \circ \pi_1(h,k) = \alpha_2 \circ \pi(h,k).$$

(See Figure 4.1)

One can easily notice from the following diagram



that $\mathrm{Ker}\,(p_1 \circ \pi_1) = \mathscr{H}_o$, thus it follows from the isomorphism theorem that there exists a unique $\alpha_1 : \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{H}_o \to H/H_o$ such that $p_1 \circ \pi_1 = \alpha_1 \circ \pi$. Similarly, one shows the existence of α_2.

It will be convenient to characterize the subgroups $\mathscr{H}$ of the product $\mathscr{G}_1 \times \mathscr{G}_2$ using the following result:

Theorem 4.3. *For every subgroup $\mathscr{H}$ of the product group $\mathscr{G}_1 \times \mathscr{G}_2$ there exist a group L and two epimorphisms $\varphi : \pi_1(\mathscr{H}) \to L$ and $\psi : \pi_2(\mathscr{H}) \to L$, such that*

$$\mathscr{H} = \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \varphi(h) = \psi(k)\}, \quad H := \pi_1(\mathscr{H}),\ K := \pi_2(\mathscr{H}). \tag{21}$$

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a subgroup of $\mathscr{G}_1 \times \mathscr{G}_2$ and suppose that the 5-tuple (H, H_o, K, K_o, θ) is associated with $\mathscr{H}$ (see Theorem 4.2). Then put $L = \overline{K}/K_o$, $\varphi := \theta \circ p_1$ and $\psi := p_2$. Then, by (20), we have

$$\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H} := \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \theta \circ p_1(h) = p_2(k)\} = \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \varphi(h) = \psi(k)\}.$$

Definition 4.2. Let H be a subgroup of $\mathscr{G}_1$ (resp. K be a subgroup of $\mathscr{G}_2$) and L a given group. Assume also that $\varphi : H \to L$ and $\psi : K \to L$ are two given homomorphisms. Then the subgroup of $\mathscr{G}_1 \times \mathscr{G}_2$, given by

$$H^{\varphi} \times_L^{\psi} K := \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \varphi(h) = \psi(k)\}. \tag{22}$$

will be called an (φ, ψ)-*amalgamated subgroup* for L (or just simply an *amalgamated subgroup*).

Remark 4.1. Notice that by Theorem 4.3, every subgroup $\mathscr{H}$ of $\mathscr{G}_1 \times \mathscr{G}_2$ is amalgamated subgroup $H^{\varphi} \times_L^{\psi} K$ for certain L and (φ, ψ). Clearly, this representation $H^{\varphi} \times_L^{\psi} K$ is not unique.

The following result allows us to distinguish between different amalgamated subgroups.

Proposition 4.2. *Let L be a group, $H \subset \mathscr{G}_1$ and $K \subset \mathscr{G}_2$ two subgroups and*

$$\varphi,\ \varphi' : H \to L, \quad \psi,\ \psi' : K \to L$$

be epimorphisms. Then

$$H^{\varphi} \times_L^{\psi} K = H^{\varphi'} \times_L^{\psi'} K$$

if and only if there exists an automorphism $\gamma \in Aut(L)$, such that $\varphi = \gamma \circ \varphi'$ and $\psi = \gamma \circ \psi'$.

Proof. Clearly, if $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}(L)$ is such that $\varphi = \gamma \circ \varphi'$ and $\psi = \gamma \circ \psi'$, then it

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K &= \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \varphi(h) = \psi(k)\} = \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \gamma \circ \varphi'(h) = \gamma \circ \psi'(k)\} \\ &= \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \varphi'(h) = \psi'(k)\} = H^{\varphi'}\times_L^{\psi'}K. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose therefore,

$$H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K = H^{\varphi'}\times_L^{\psi'}K \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \varphi(h) = \psi(k)\} = \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \varphi'(h) = \psi'(k)\}.$$

Since $\varphi' : H \to L$ is surjective, for every $l \in L$ there is $h \in H$ such that $\varphi'(h) = l$. Then we define $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(L)$ by $\gamma(l) = \varphi(h)$. Clearly, γ doesn't depend on the choice of h, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(h) = \gamma(\varphi'(h)) = (\gamma \circ \varphi')(h)), \\ &\psi(k) = \varphi(h) = (\gamma \circ \varphi')(h) = (\gamma(\varphi'(h)) = (\gamma(\psi'(k)) = (\gamma \circ \psi')(k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\varphi = \gamma \circ \varphi' \text{ and } \psi = \gamma \circ \psi'$$

□

We have also the following result:

Proposition 4.3. *Let L be a group, $H \subset \mathscr{G}_1$ and $K \subset \mathscr{G}_2$ two subgroups and $\varphi : H \to L$, $\psi : K \to L$ two epimorphisms. Put $H_o := Ker\varphi$ and $K_o := Ker\psi$ Then we have*

$$\left|H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K\right| = |H_o||L||K_o|.$$

Proof. By the definition

$$H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K = \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \varphi(h) = \psi(k)\} = \bigcup_{l \in L} \mathcal{N}_l,$$

where $\mathcal{N}_l := \{(h,k) \in H \times K : \varphi(h) = l = \psi(k)\}$. Observe that for all l, $l' \in L$, if $l \neq l'$, we have $\mathcal{N}_l \cap \mathcal{N}_{l'} = \emptyset$. Moreover, $\varphi(h') = \varphi(x) = l$ and $\psi(k') = \psi(k) = l$ if and only if $h^{-1}h' \in H_o$ and $k^{-1}k \in K_o$. Therefore, for every $l \in L$, $|\mathcal{N}_l| = |H_o||K_o|.$, which completes the proof. □

4.2. **Conjugacy Classes of Subgroups in the Product $\mathscr{G}_1 \times \mathscr{G}_2$.** Assume that L is a fixed group and consider two subgroups $H \subset \mathscr{G}_1$ and $K \subset \mathscr{G}_2$. We are interested in describing all possible different amalgamated subgroup $H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K$. One can easily notice that every epimorphism $\varphi : H \to L$ is uniquely determined by the kernel $H_o := \mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi$ and an isomorphism $\theta : H/H_o \to L$. Since we can always identify L with K/K_o, we can always take as ψ the natural projection $p_2 : K \to K/K_o$. On the other hand, we have that all possible epimorphisms $\varphi : H \to L$ are determined by normal subgroups H_o of H such that $\eta : H/H_o \simeq L$ and automorphisms $\gamma : L \to L$ such that $\varphi = \eta \circ \overline{p}_1$, here $\overline{p}_1 := \eta \circ \pi_1$, i.e. the following diagram commutes:



Therefore, by Proposition 4.2 that there are exactly $|\mathcal{G}_L|$, where $\mathcal{G}_L = \mathrm{Aut}\,(L)$ different amalgamated subgroup $H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K$ for fixed H and K.

Consider next for a fixed group L and two subgroups $H \subset \mathscr{G}_1$ and $K \subset \mathscr{G}_2$ and their fixed normal divisors H_o and K_o satisfying $H/H_o \simeq L \simeq K/K_o$. We are interested to find all different conjugacy classes of such amalgamated subgroup $H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K$ with $\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi = H_o$ and $\mathrm{Ker}\,\psi = K_o$.

We need the following lemma

Lemma 4.3. *Let L be a fixed group, $H \subset \mathscr{G}_1$, $K \subset \mathscr{G}_2$ two subgroups and H_o and K_o their normal divisors satisfying*

$$H/H_o \simeq L \simeq K/K_o.$$

Then for two pairs of epimorphisms (φ, ψ), (φ', ψ'), where

$$\varphi,\, \varphi' : H \to L, \quad Ker\varphi = Ker\varphi' = H_o,$$

and

$$\psi, \psi' : K \to L, \quad Ker\psi = Ker\psi' = K_o,$$

the subgroups $H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K$ and $H^{\varphi'}\times_L^{\psi'}K$ are conjugate if and only if there exists

$$(h, k) \in N_{\mathscr{G}_1}(H) \times N_{\mathscr{G}_2}(K)$$

satisfying for all $(h', k') \in H \times K$

$$\varphi(h') = \varphi'(hh'h^{-1}) \quad and \quad \psi(k') = \psi'(kk'k^{-1}).$$

Proof. Suppose that there is $(a, b) \in \mathscr{G}_1 \times \mathscr{G}_2$ such that

$$(a, b)\, H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K \left(a^{-1}, b^{-1}\right) = H^{\varphi'}\times_L^{\psi'}K$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (a, b)\, \{(x, y) \in H \times K : \varphi(x) = \psi(y)\} \left(a^{-1}, b^{-1}\right) \\ = \;& \left\{(a, b)\,(x, y) \left(a^{-1}, b^{-1}\right) \in H \times K \varphi(x) = \psi(y)\right\} \\ = \;& \left\{\left(axa^{-1}, byb^{-1}\right) \in H \times K : \varphi(x) = \psi(y)\right\} \\ = \;& \{(x, y) \in H \times K : \varphi'(x) = \psi'(y)\} = H^{\varphi'}\times_L^{\psi'}K \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $(x, y) \in H \times K$, such that $\varphi(x) = \psi(y)$, we have $\left(axa^{-1}, byb^{-1}\right) \in H^{\varphi'}\times_L^{\psi'}K$, which implies

$$\varphi'\left(axa^{-1}\right) = \psi'\left(byb^{-1}\right)$$

In particular, for all $x \in H$ and $y \in K$ we have

$$axa^{-1} \in H \text{ and } byb^{-1} \in K$$

which implies that

$$a \in N_{\mathscr{G}_1}(H) \text{ and } b \in N_{\mathscr{G}_2}(K).$$

On the other hand suppose that there are $a \in N_{\mathscr{G}_1}(H)$ and $b \in N_{\mathscr{G}_2}(K)$ such that for all $(x, y) \in H \times K$ we have

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi'(axa^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(y) = \psi'(byb^{-1})$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a,b)\left\{(x,y)\in H\times K:\varphi(x)=\psi(y)\right\}\left(a^{-1},b^{-1}\right)\\
= & \left\{(a,b)(x,y)\left(a^{-1},b^{-1}\right)\in H\times K:\varphi(x)=\psi(y)\right\}\\
= & \left\{\left(axa^{-1},byb^{-1}\right)\in H\times K:\varphi(x)=\psi(y)\right\}\\
= & \left\{\left(axa^{-1},byb^{-1}\right)\in H\times K:\varphi'(axa^{-1})=\psi'(byb^{-1})\right\}\\
= & \left\{(x,y)\in H\times K:\varphi'(x)=\psi'(y)\right\}=H^{\varphi'}\times_L^{\psi'}K
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(a,b)\,H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K\left(a^{-1},b^{-1}\right)=H^{\varphi'}\times_L^{\psi'}K$$

as we claimed.

□

Notice that for fixed subgroup $H\subset\mathscr{G}_1$, and a normal subgroup H_o such that $H/H_o=L$ we have an action of

$$\mathcal{G}_1=N(H_o)\cap N(H)$$

on the set $\mathrm{Epi}_{H_o}(H,L)$ of all epimorphisms φ from H to L with $\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi=H_o$, given by, for $h\in N(H_o)\cap N(H)=\mathcal{G}_1$

$$(h\cdot\varphi)(h')=\varphi(hh'h^{-1})\text{ for }\ h'\in H.$$

Of course, similar properties can be also established for K and K_o, with

$$\mathcal{G}_2=N(K_o)\cap N(K)$$

acting on the set $\mathrm{Epi}_{K_o}(K,L)$. Then the group

$$\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}_1\times\mathcal{G}_2\times\mathcal{G}_L$$

acts on the set $\mathrm{Epi}_{H_o}(H,L)\times\mathrm{Epi}_{K_o}(K,L)$ by the formula

$$\forall_{(h,k,\gamma)\in\mathcal{G}}\quad(h,k,\gamma)\cdot(\varphi,\psi)=(\gamma\circ(h\cdot\varphi),\gamma\circ(k\cdot\psi).$$

Then there are exactly

$$\left|\mathrm{Epi}_{H_o}(H,L)\times\mathrm{Epi}_{K_o}(K,L)/\mathcal{G}\right|$$

different conjugacy classes among such amalgamated subgroups.

In the case, we have

$$\left|\mathrm{Epi}_{H_o}(H,L)\right|=|\mathcal{G}_L|\text{ or }\left|\mathrm{Epi}_{K_o}(K,L)\right|=|\mathcal{G}_L|$$

this formula can be written in a more transparent form:

$$\frac{\left|\mathrm{Epi}_{H_o}(H,L)/\mathcal{G}_1\right|\cdot\left|\mathrm{Epi}_{K_o}(K,L)/\mathcal{G}_2\right|}{|\mathcal{G}_L|}.$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let L be a fixed group, H, $H'\subset\mathscr{G}_1$, K, $K'\subset\mathscr{G}_2$ be subgroups and assume that $\varphi:H\to L$, $\varphi':H'\to L$ and $\psi:H\to L$, $\psi':H'\to L$ are epimorphisms. Then two amalgamated subgroups $H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K$ and $H'^{\varphi'}\times_L^{\psi'}K'$ are conjugate if and only if there exist $(a,b)\in\mathscr{G}_1\times\mathscr{G}_2$ and $\alpha\in\mathrm{Aut}\,(L)$ such that $H'=aHa^{-1}$, $K'=bKb^{-1}$ and for all $h\in H$, $k\in K$ we have*

$$\alpha(\varphi'(a^{-1}ha))=\varphi(h)\quad\text{ and }\quad\alpha(\psi'(b^{-1}kb))=\psi(k).\tag{23}$$

Proof. Assume that the condition (23) is satisfied then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(a,b)H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K(a^{-1},b^{-1}) &= (a,b)\{(h,k)\in H\times K:\varphi(h)=\psi(k)\}(a^{-1},b^{-1})\\ &= \{(aha^{-1},bkb^{-1})\in aHa^{-1}\times bKb^{-1}:\varphi(h)=\psi(k)\}\\ &= \{(h',k')\in H'\times K':\varphi(a^{-1}h'a)=\psi(b^{-1}k'b)\}\\ &= \{(h',k')\in H'\times K':\alpha\varphi'(h')=\alpha\psi'(k'))\}\\ &= \{(h',k')\in H'\times K':\varphi'(h')=\psi'(k'))\}\\ &= H'^{\varphi'}\times_L^{\psi'}K'.\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that $(a,b)H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K(a^{-1},b^{-1}) = H'^{\varphi'}\times_L^{\psi'}K'$, then clearly $H' = aHa^{-1}$, $K' = bKb^{-1}$ and

$$\{(aha^{-1},bkb^{-1})\in aHa^{-1}\times bKb^{-1}:\varphi(h)=\psi(k)\} = \{(h',k')\in H'\times K':\varphi'(h')=\psi'(k'))\},$$

which implies that for $(aha^{-1},bkb^{-1}) = (h,k)$ we have that there exists $\alpha\in \mathrm{Aut}\,(L)$ such that $\alpha\varphi'(a^{-1}ha) = \varphi(h)$ and $\alpha\psi'(b^{-1}kb) = \psi(k)$. □

Remark 4.2. Let L be a fixed group, $H\le\mathscr{G}_1$, $K\le\mathscr{G}_2$ be subgroups. Assume that $\varphi: H\to L$ and $\psi: H\to L$ are epimorphisms. Then by Theorem 4.4, all the conjugated to $H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi}K$ subgroups are the amalgamated subgroups $H'^{\varphi'}\times_L^{\psi'}K'$ such that are there exist inner automorphisms $\mu_a: \mathscr{G}_1\to\mathscr{G}_1$ and $\nu_b:\mathscr{G}_2\to\mathscr{G}_2$ satisfying

$$\mu_a(g_1) = a^{-1}g_1a,\quad \nu_b(g_2) = b^{-1}g_2b,\quad g_1\in\mathscr{G}_1,\ g_2\in\mathscr{G}_2,$$

for some $(a,b)\in\mathscr{G}_1\times\mathscr{G}_2$ and the following diagrams commute



In particular if $H = H'$ and $K = K'$, then $(a,b)\in N_{\mathscr{G}_1}(H)\times N_{\mathscr{G}_2}(K)$.

ID	$(\mathcal{H})$	$\lvert W(\mathcal{H})\rvert$	ID	$(\mathcal{H})$	$\lvert W(\mathcal{H})\rvert$	ID	$(\mathcal{H})$	$\lvert W(\mathcal{H})\rvert$
1	$(\mathbb{Z}_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	35	$(D_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{D_1}D_n)$	4	69	$(\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}SO(2))$	8
2	$(\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	36	$(S_4{}^{A_4}\times_{D_1}D_n)$	4	70	$(D_1{}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}SO(2))$	4
3	$(D_1{}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	37	$(V_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_2}D_{2n})$	8	71	$(\mathbb{Z}_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}SO(2))$	4
4	$(\mathbb{Z}_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	38	$(D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2}D_{2n})$	8	72	$(V_4{}^{V_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}SO(2))$	12
5	$(V_4{}^{V_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	39	$(D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{D_1}\times_{D_2}D_{2n})$	4	73	$(D_2{}^{D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}SO(2))$	4
6	$(D_2{}^{D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	40	$(D_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{V_4}\times_{D_2}D_{2n})$	4	74	$(\mathbb{Z}_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}SO(2))$	4
7	$(\mathbb{Z}_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	41	$(D_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{D_2}\times_{D_2}D_{2n})$	4	75	$(D_3{}^{D_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}SO(2))$	2
8	$(D_3{}^{D_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	42	$(D_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{D_2}D_{2n})$	4	76	$(D_4{}^{D_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}SO(2))$	2
9	$(D_4{}^{D_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	43	$(D_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_3}D_{3n})$	2	77	$(A_4{}^{A_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}SO(2))$	4
10	$(A_4{}^{A_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	44	$(S_4{}^{V_4}\times_{D_3}D_{3n})$	2	78	$(S_4{}^{S_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}SO(2))$	2
11	$(S_4{}^{S_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	45	$(D_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_4}D_{4n})$	2	79	$(\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	8
12	$(\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	46	$(\mathbb{Z}_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}D_n)$	48	80	$(D_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	4
13	$(D_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	47	$(\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}D_n)$	8	81	$(V_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	4
14	$(V_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	48	$(D_1{}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}D_n)$	4	82	$(D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	4
15	$(D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	49	$(\mathbb{Z}_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}D_n)$	4	83	$(D_2{}^{D_1}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2
16	$(D_2{}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	50	$(V_4{}^{V_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}D_n)$	12	84	$(\mathbb{Z}_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	4
17	$(\mathbb{Z}_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	51	$(D_2{}^{D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}D_n)$	4	85	$(D_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2
18	$(D_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	52	$(\mathbb{Z}_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}D_n)$	4	86	$(D_4{}^{V_4}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2
19	$(D_4{}^{V_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	53	$(D_3{}^{D_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}D_n)$	2	87	$(D_4{}^{D_2}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2
20	$(D_4{}^{D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	54	$(D_4{}^{D_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}D_n)$	2	88	$(D_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2
21	$(D_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	55	$(A_4{}^{A_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}D_n)$	4	89	$(S_4{}^{A_4}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2
22	$(S_4{}^{A_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	56	$(S_4{}^{S_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}D_n)$	2	90	$(\mathbb{Z}_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}O(2))$	24
23	$(\mathbb{Z}_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_3}\mathbb{Z}_{3n})$	∞	57	$(\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}D_{2n})$	8	91	$(\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}O(2))$	4
24	$(A_4{}^{V_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_3}\mathbb{Z}_{3n})$	∞	58	$(D_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}D_{2n})$	4	92	$(D_1{}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}O(2))$	2
25	$(\mathbb{Z}_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_4}\mathbb{Z}_{4n})$	∞	59	$(V_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}D_{2n})$	4	93	$(\mathbb{Z}_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}O(2))$	2
26	$(\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1}D_n)$	16	60	$(D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}D_{2n})$	4	94	$(V_4{}^{V_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}O(2))$	6
27	$(D_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1}D_n)$	8	61	$(D_2{}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}D_{2n})$	2	95	$(D_2{}^{D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}O(2))$	2
28	$(V_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1}D_n)$	8	62	$(\mathbb{Z}_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}D_{2n})$	4	96	$(\mathbb{Z}_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}O(2))$	2
29	$(D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1}D_n)$	8	63	$(D_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}D_{2n})$	2	97	$(D_3{}^{D_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}O(2))$	1
30	$(D_2{}^{D_1}\times_{D_1}D_n)$	4	64	$(D_4{}^{V_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}D_{2n})$	2	98	$(D_4{}^{D_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}O(2))$	1
31	$(\mathbb{Z}_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1}D_n)$	8	65	$(D_4{}^{D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}D_{2n})$	2	99	$(A_4{}^{A_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}O(2))$	2
32	$(D_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}\times_{D_1}D_n)$	4	66	$(D_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}D_{2n})$	2	100	$(S_4{}^{S_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}O(2))$	1
33	$(D_4{}^{V_4}\times_{D_1}D_n)$	4	67	$(S_4{}^{A_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}D_{2n})$	2			
34	$(D_4{}^{D_2}\times_{D_1}D_n)$	4	68	$(\mathbb{Z}_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1}SO(2))$	48			

TABLE 1. Conjugacy classes in $S_4 \times O(2)$

TABLE 2. Conjugacy Classes of Subgroups in $D_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times O(2)$ (part 1)

ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$	ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$	ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$
1	$(\mathbb{Z}_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	36	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	71	$(D_2^{2\,D_2^d} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
2	$(\mathbb{Z}_1^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	37	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	72	$(D_2^{2\,D_1^2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
3	$(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	38	$(D_2^{\,\mathbb{Z}_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	73	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}\,D_2^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
4	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^z \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	39	$(D_2^{\,D_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	74	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}\,\mathbb{Z}_4^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
5	$(\widetilde{D}_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	40	$(D_2^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	75	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}\,\widetilde{D}_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
6	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}} \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	41	$(D_2^{z\,D_1^d} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	76	$(D_4^{\,D_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
7	$(D_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	42	$(D_2^{d\,\mathbb{Z}_2^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	77	$(D_4^{\,\mathbb{Z}_4} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
8	$(D_1^d \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	43	$(D_2^{d\,D_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	78	$(D_4^{\,\widetilde{D}_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
9	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	44	$(D_2^{d\,D_1^d} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	79	$(D_4^{d\,D_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
10	$(D_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	45	$(D_1^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_1^2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	80	$(D_4^{d\,\mathbb{Z}_4^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
11	$(D_2^z \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	46	$(D_1^{2\,D_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	81	$(D_4^{d\,\widetilde{D}_2^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
12	$(D_2^d \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	47	$(D_1^{2\,D_1^d} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	82	$(D_4^{z\,D_2^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
13	$(D_1^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	48	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{\,\mathbb{Z}_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	83	$(D_4^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_4} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
14	$(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	49	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	84	$(D_4^{z\,\widetilde{D}_2^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
15	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^z \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	50	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\,\mathbb{Z}_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	85	$(D_4^{2\,\widetilde{D}_2^2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
16	$(\widetilde{D}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	51	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\,\widetilde{D}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	86	$(D_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_4^2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
17	$(\widetilde{D}_2^z \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	52	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	87	$(D_4^{2\,D_2^2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
18	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}} \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	53	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{z\,\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	88	$(D_4^{2\,D_4^{\tilde{d}}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
19	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	54	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}\,\mathbb{Z}_2^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	89	$(D_4^{2\,D_4} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
20	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	55	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}\,\widetilde{D}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	90	$(D_4^{2\,D_4^d} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
21	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	56	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}\,\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	91	$(D_4^{2\,D_4^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞
22	$(D_2^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	57	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_1^2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	92	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_4} \mathbb{Z}_{4n})$	∞
23	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}} \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	58	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{2\,\widetilde{D}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	93	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_4} \mathbb{Z}_{4n})$	∞
24	$(D_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	59	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{2\,\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	94	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_1^2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_4} \mathbb{Z}_{4n})$	∞
25	$(D_4^d \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	60	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2^2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	95	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_4} \mathbb{Z}_{4n})$	∞
26	$(D_4^z \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	61	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{2\,\widetilde{D}_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	96	$(\mathbb{Z}_1^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_1} D_n)$	32
27	$(D_4^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$	∞	62	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{2\,\widetilde{D}_2^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	97	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_1} D_n)$	32
28	$(\mathbb{Z}_1^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	63	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{2\,\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	98	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_1} D_n)$	32
29	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	64	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{2\,\widetilde{D}_1^2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	99	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_1} D_n)$	16
30	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	65	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2^2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	100	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_1} D_n)$	16
31	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	66	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_4} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	101	$(D_1^{\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_1} D_n)$	16
32	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	67	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_4^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	102	$(D_1^{d\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_1} D_n)$	16
33	$(D_1^{\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	68	$(D_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2^2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	103	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_1^2} \times_{D_1} D_n)$	16
34	$(D_1^{d\,\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	69	$(D_2^{2\,D_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	104	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2} \times_{D_1} D_n)$	16
35	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_1^2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	70	$(D_2^{2\,D_2^z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$	∞	105	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2^z} \times_{D_1} D_n)$	16

TABLE 3. Conjugacy Classes of Subgroups in $D_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times O(2)$ (part 2)

ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$	ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$	ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$
106	$(D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	16	141	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}}{}^{D_2^z}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	176	$(\widetilde{D}_2^z{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
107	$(D_2{}^{D_1}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	142	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}}{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4^z}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	177	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
108	$(D_2^z{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	16	143	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}}{}^{\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	178	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\widetilde{D}_1}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
109	$(D_2^z{}^{D_1^d}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	144	$(D_4{}^{D_2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	179	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
110	$(D_2^d{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	145	$(D_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	180	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
111	$(D_2^d{}^{D_1}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	146	$(D_4{}^{\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	181	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\widetilde{D}_1}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
112	$(D_2^d{}^{D_1^d}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	147	$(D_4^d{}^{D_2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	182	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
113	$(D_1^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	148	$(D_4^d{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4^z}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	183	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
114	$(D_1^2{}^{D_1}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	149	$(D_4^d{}^{\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	184	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}_{\widetilde{D}_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
115	$(D_1^2{}^{D_1^d}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	150	$(D_4^z{}^{D_2^z}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	185	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
116	$(\mathbb{Z}_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	16	151	$(D_4^z{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	186	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
117	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^z{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	16	152	$(D_4^z{}^{\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	187	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
118	$(\widetilde{D}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	16	153	$(D_4^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_2^2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	4	188	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
119	$(\widetilde{D}_2{}^{\widetilde{D}_1}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	154	$(D_4^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4^2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	4	189	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}_{\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
120	$(\widetilde{D}_2^z{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	16	155	$(D_4^2{}^{D_2^2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	4	190	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_1}_{\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
121	$(\widetilde{D}_2^z{}^{\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	156	$(D_4^2{}^{D_4^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	4	191	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_1}_{\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
122	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	157	$(D_4^2{}^{D_4}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	4	192	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_1}_{\widetilde{D}_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
123	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}{}^{\widetilde{D}_1}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	158	$(D_4^2{}^{D_4^d}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	4	193	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}_{\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
124	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}{}^{\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	159	$(D_4^2{}^{D_4^z}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	4	194	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}_{\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
125	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	160	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	16	195	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}_{\widetilde{D}_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
126	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_1}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	161	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	16	196	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
127	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	162	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	16	197	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
128	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	163	$(D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	16	198	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\mathbb{Z}_4^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
129	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	164	$(D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{D_1}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	199	$(D_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
130	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	165	$(D_2^z{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	16	200	$(D_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}_{D_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
131	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	4	166	$(D_2^z{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{D_1^d}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	201	$(D_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
132	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2{}^{\widetilde{D}_1^2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	4	167	$(D_2^d{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	202	$(D_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{D_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
133	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	168	$(D_2^d{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{D_1}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	203	$(D_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{D_2^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
134	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	169	$(D_2^d{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{D_1^d}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	204	$(D_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8
135	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4^z}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	170	$(D_1^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}_{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	205	$(D_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}_{D_2^d}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
136	$(D_2^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	171	$(D_1^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{D_1}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	206	$(D_2^2{}^{D_1}_{D_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
137	$(D_2^2{}^{D_2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	172	$(D_1^2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{D_1^d}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	207	$(D_2^2{}^{D_1}_{D_2^d}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
138	$(D_2^2{}^{D_2^z}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	8	173	$(\widetilde{D}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	16	208	$(D_2^2{}^{D_1}_{D_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
139	$(D_2^2{}^{D_2^d}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	4	174	$(\widetilde{D}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\widetilde{D}_1}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	209	$(D_2^2{}^{D_1^d}_{D_2^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4
140	$(D_2^2{}^{D_1^2}\times_{D_1} D_n)$	4	175	$(\widetilde{D}_2^z{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	16	210	$(D_2^2{}^{D_1^d}_{D_2^d}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4

TABLE 4. Conjugacy Classes of Subgroups in $D_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times O(2)$ (part 3)

ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$	ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$	ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$
211	$(D_2^2\ {}^{D_1^d}_{D_2^1}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	246	$(D_4\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_4} D_{4n})$	4	281	$(\widetilde{D}_1\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
212	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}}\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{D_2^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	247	$(D_4^d\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_4} D_{4n})$	4	282	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
213	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}}\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\mathbb{Z}_4^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	248	$(D_4^z\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_4} D_{4n})$	4	283	$(D_1\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
214	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}}\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	249	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{D_4} D_{4n})$	2	284	$(D_1^d\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
215	$(D_4\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{D_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	250	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{D_4} D_{4n})$	2	285	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
216	$(D_4\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	251	$(\mathbb{Z}_1\times D_n)$	32	286	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
217	$(D_4\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	252	$(\mathbb{Z}_1^2\times D_n)$	16	287	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
218	$(D_4^d\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{D_2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	253	$(\mathbb{Z}_2\times D_n)$	16	288	$(D_2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
219	$(D_4^d\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\mathbb{Z}_4^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	254	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^z\times D_n)$	16	289	$(D_2\ {}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
220	$(D_4^d\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	255	$(\widetilde{D}_1\times D_n)$	8	290	$(D_2^z\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
221	$(D_4^z\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{D_2^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	256	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}\times D_n)$	8	291	$(D_2^z\ {}^{D_1^d}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
222	$(D_4^z\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	257	$(D_1\times D_n)$	8	292	$(D_2^d\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
223	$(D_4^z\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	8	258	$(D_1^d\times D_n)$	8	293	$(D_2^d\ {}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
224	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}_{\widetilde{D}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	259	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^2\times D_n)$	8	294	$(D_2^d\ {}^{D_1^d}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
225	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	260	$(D_2\times D_n)$	8	295	$(D_1^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
226	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}_{D_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	261	$(D_2^z\times D_n)$	8	296	$(D_1^2\ {}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
227	$(D_4^2\ {}^{D_2}_{D_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	262	$(D_2^d\times D_n)$	4	297	$(D_1^2\ {}^{D_1^d}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
228	$(D_4^2\ {}^{D_2}_{D_4}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	263	$(D_1^2\times D_n)$	4	298	$(\mathbb{Z}_4\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
229	$(D_4^2\ {}^{D_2}_{D_4^d}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	264	$(\mathbb{Z}_4\times D_n)$	8	299	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^z\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
230	$(D_4^2\ {}^{D_2^z}_{D_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	265	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^z\times D_n)$	8	300	$(\widetilde{D}_2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
231	$(D_4^2\ {}^{D_2^z}_{D_4^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	266	$(\widetilde{D}_2\times D_n)$	8	301	$(\widetilde{D}_2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
232	$(D_4^2\ {}^{D_2^z}_{D_4^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	267	$(\widetilde{D}_2^z\times D_n)$	8	302	$(\widetilde{D}_2^z\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	8
233	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}_{\mathbb{Z}_4^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	268	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}\times D_n)$	4	303	$(\widetilde{D}_2^z\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
234	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}_{D_4}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	269	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2\times D_n)$	4	304	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
235	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}_{D_4^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	270	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2\times D_n)$	4	305	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
236	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_4^2}_{\mathbb{Z}_4^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	271	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^2\times D_n)$	4	306	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
237	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_4^z}_{D_4^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	272	$(D_2^2\times D_n)$	4	307	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
238	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_4^z}_{D_4^d}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	273	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}}\times D_n)$	4	308	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
239	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_2}_{\widetilde{D}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	274	$(D_4\times D_n)$	4	309	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
240	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_2}_{D_4^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	275	$(D_4^d\times D_n)$	4	310	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
241	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_2}_{D_4}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	276	$(D_4^z\times D_n)$	4	311	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
242	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_2^z}_{\widetilde{D}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	277	$(D_4^2\times D_n)$	2	312	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4
243	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_2^z}_{D_4^d}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	278	$(\mathbb{Z}_1^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	16	313	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	2
244	$(D_4^2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_2^z}_{D_4^z}\times_{D_2} D_{2n})$	4	279	$(\mathbb{Z}_2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	16	314	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2\ {}^{\widetilde{D}_1^2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	2
245	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}}\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_4} D_{4n})$	4	280	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^z\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	16	315	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^2\ {}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4

TABLE 5. Conjugacy Classes of Subgroups in $D_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times O(2)$ (part 4)

ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$	ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$	ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$
316	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	351	$(D_2 \times SO(2))$	8	386	$(D_1^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
317	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_4^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	352	$(D_2^z \times SO(2))$	8	387	$(D_1^{2\,D_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
318	$(D_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	353	$(D_2^d \times SO(2))$	4	388	$(D_1^{2\,D_1^d}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
319	$(D_2^{2\,D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	354	$(D_1^2 \times SO(2))$	4	389	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{\,\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8
320	$(D_2^{2\,D_2^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	355	$(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times SO(2))$	8	390	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8
321	$(D_2^{2\,D_2^d}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	2	356	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^z \times SO(2))$	8	391	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\,\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8
322	$(D_2^{2\,D_1^2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	2	357	$(\widetilde{D}_2 \times SO(2))$	8	392	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\,\widetilde{D}_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
323	$(D_4^{d\,D_2^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	358	$(\widetilde{D}_2^z \times SO(2))$	8	393	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8
324	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}\,\mathbb{Z}_4^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	359	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}} \times SO(2))$	4	394	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{z\,\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
325	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}\,\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	360	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2 \times SO(2))$	4	395	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}\,\mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
326	$(D_4^{\,D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	361	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2 \times SO(2))$	4	396	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}\,\widetilde{D}_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
327	$(D_4^{\,\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	362	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^2 \times SO(2))$	4	397	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}\,\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
328	$(D_4^{\,\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	363	$(D_2^2 \times SO(2))$	4	398	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
329	$(D_4^{d\,D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	364	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}} \times SO(2))$	4	399	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{2\,\widetilde{D}_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
330	$(D_4^{d\,\mathbb{Z}_4^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	365	$(D_4 \times SO(2))$	4	400	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{2\,\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
331	$(D_4^{d\,\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	366	$(D_4^d \times SO(2))$	4	401	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
332	$(D_4^{z\,D_2^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	367	$(D_4^z \times SO(2))$	4	402	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{2\,\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
333	$(D_4^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	368	$(D_4^2 \times SO(2))$	2	403	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{2\,\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
334	$(D_4^{z\,\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	4	369	$(\mathbb{Z}_1^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	16	404	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{2\,\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	2
335	$(D_4^{2\,\widetilde{D}_2^2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	2	370	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{\,\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	16	405	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{2\,\widetilde{D}_1^2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	2
336	$(D_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_4^2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	2	371	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	16	406	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
337	$(D_4^{2\,D_2^2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	2	372	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{\,\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8	407	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
338	$(D_4^{2\,D_4^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	2	373	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}\,\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8	408	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_4^z}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
339	$(D_4^{2\,D_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	2	374	$(D_1^{\,\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8	409	$(D_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
340	$(D_4^{2\,D_4^d}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	2	375	$(D_1^{d\,\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8	410	$(D_2^{2\,D_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
341	$(D_4^{2\,D_4^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2n})$	2	376	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8	411	$(D_2^{2\,D_2^z}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
342	$(\mathbb{Z}_1 \times SO(2))$	32	377	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8	412	$(D_2^{2\,D_2^d}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	2
343	$(\mathbb{Z}_1^2 \times SO(2))$	16	378	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8	413	$(D_2^{2\,D_1^2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	2
344	$(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times SO(2))$	16	379	$(D_2^{\,\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8	414	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}\,D_2^z}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
345	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^z \times SO(2))$	16	380	$(D_2^{\,D_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4	415	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}\,\mathbb{Z}_4^z}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
346	$(\widetilde{D}_1 \times SO(2))$	8	381	$(D_2^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	8	416	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}\,\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
347	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}} \times SO(2))$	8	382	$(D_2^{z\,D_1^d}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4	417	$(D_4^{\,D_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
348	$(D_1 \times SO(2))$	8	383	$(D_2^{d\,\mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4	418	$(D_4^{\,\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
349	$(D_1^d \times SO(2))$	8	384	$(D_2^{d\,D_1}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4	419	$(D_4^{\,\widetilde{D}_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4
350	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^2 \times SO(2))$	8	385	$(D_2^{d\,D_1^d}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4	420	$(D_4^{d\,D_2}\times_{D_1} O(2))$	4

TABLE 6. Conjugacy Classes of Subgroups in $D_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times O(2)$ (part 5)

ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$	ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$	ID	(S)	$\lvert W(S)\rvert$
421	$(D_4^{d\,\mathbb{Z}_4^z}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	4	434	$(\mathbb{Z}_1^2\times O(2))$	8	447	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^z\times O(2))$	4
422	$(D_4^{d\,\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	4	435	$(\mathbb{Z}_2\times O(2))$	8	448	$(\widetilde{D}_2\times O(2))$	4
423	$(D_4^{z\,D_2^z}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	4	436	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^z\times O(2))$	8	449	$(\widetilde{D}_2^z\times O(2))$	4
424	$(D_4^{z\,\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	4	437	$(\widetilde{D}_1\times O(2))$	4	450	$(\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}\times O(2))$	2
425	$(D_4^{z\,\widetilde{D}_2^z}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	4	438	$(\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}\times O(2))$	4	451	$(\widetilde{D}_1^2\times O(2))$	2
426	$(D_4^{2\,\widetilde{D}_2^2}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2	439	$(D_1\times O(2))$	4	452	$(\widetilde{D}_2^2\times O(2))$	2
427	$(D_4^{2\,\mathbb{Z}_4^2}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2	440	$(D_1^d\times O(2))$	4	453	$(\mathbb{Z}_4^2\times O(2))$	2
428	$(D_4^{2\,D_2^2}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2	441	$(\mathbb{Z}_2^2\times O(2))$	4	454	$(D_2^2\times O(2))$	2
429	$(D_4^{2\,D_4^{\tilde{d}}}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2	442	$(D_2\times O(2))$	4	455	$(D_4^{\tilde{d}}\times O(2))$	2
430	$(D_4^{2\,D_4}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2	443	$(D_2^z\times O(2))$	4	456	$(D_4\times O(2))$	2
431	$(D_4^{2\,D_4^d}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2	444	$(D_2^d\times O(2))$	2	457	$(D_4^d\times O(2))$	2
432	$(D_4^{2\,D_4^z}\times_{D_1}O(2))$	2	445	$(D_1^2\times O(2))$	2	458	$(D_4^z\times O(2))$	2
433	$(\mathbb{Z}_1\times O(2))$	16	446	$(\mathbb{Z}_4\times O(2))$	4	459	$(D_4^2\times O(2))$	1

Example 4.1. Recall that for given two groups $\mathscr{G}_1$ and $\mathscr{G}_2$, we have introduced the notation $\mathcal{H} = H^{\varphi}\times_L^{\psi} K$ for a subgroup $\mathcal{H}\subset\mathscr{G}_1\times\mathscr{G}_2$, where $H\subset\mathscr{G}_1$, $K\subset\mathscr{G}_2$ are two subgroups, $\varphi: H\to L$ and $\psi: H\to L$ are epimorphismns. Consider as our main example the group $S_4\times O(2)$ and assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is a closed subgroup. The method explained in this section was applied by Hao-Pin Wu (cf [59]) to the group $S_4\times O(2)$ using GAP programming who obtain the classification of the all conjugacy classes $(\mathcal{H})$ of closed subgroups in $S_4\times O(2)$. To be more precise, in order to identify L with $K/\mathrm{Ker}\,(\psi)\subset O(2)$ and denote by r the rotation generator in L. Next we put

$$Z = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad R = \varphi^{-1}(\langle r\rangle)$$

and define

$$\mathcal{H} =: H\,{}_R^Z\!\times_L K,. \tag{24}$$

Of course, in the case when all the epimorphisms φ with the kernel Z are conjugate, there is no need to use the symbol Z in (24) and we will simply write $\mathcal{H} = H^{Z}\times_L K$. Moreover, in the case all epimorphisms φ from H to L are conjugate, we can also omit the symbol L, i.e. we will write $\mathcal{H} = H\times_L K$. The conjugacy classes of subgroups in $S_4\times O(2)$ are listed Table 4.2, which were obtained in [59]. Notice in Table Table 4.2 the classes 38, 39 and 40, 41, 42. Here $< r >= \mathbb{Z}_2$, so for the class 38, the isomorphism $\varphi: D_2\to D_2$ is the identity, while for the class 38, we have $\varphi(\kappa) = -1$. Similarly, for the class 40, the epimorphism maps V_4 onto $\mathbb{Z}_2$, for the class 41, $\varphi(\kappa) = -1$, and for class 42, $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}_4) = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Example 4.2. As another example that will be used later in this paper, we consider the group $\Gamma := D_4\times\mathbb{Z}_2$. Since $D_4\times\mathbb{Z}_2\le D_4\times S^1$, we can use the same convention as in [5] in order to denote the conjugacy classes of subgroups in $D_4\times\mathbb{Z}_2$, namely

$$(\mathbb{Z}_1),\ (\mathbb{Z}_1^2),\ (\mathbb{Z}_2),\ (\mathbb{Z}_2^z,\ (\widetilde{D}_1),\ (\widetilde{D}_1^{\tilde{d}}),\ (D_1),\ (D_1^d),\ (\mathbb{Z}_2^2),\ D_2),(D_2^z),\ (D_2^d),\ (D_1^2),\ (\mathbb{Z}_4),$$
$$(\mathbb{Z}_4^z),\ \widetilde{D}_2),\ (\widetilde{D}_2^z),\ (\widetilde{D}_2^{\tilde{d}}),\ (\widetilde{D}_1^2),\ (\widetilde{D}_2^2),(\mathbb{Z}_4^2),\ (D_2^2),\ (D_4^{\tilde{d}}),\ (D_4),\ (D_4^d),\ (D_4^z),\ (D_4^2).$$

By applying GAP programs developed by Hao-Pin Wu (cf [59]) to the group $D_4\times\mathbb{Z}_2\times O(2)$ we get all the conjugacy classes of subgroups in $D_4\times\mathbb{Z}_2\times O(2)$ which are listed in Tables 2–6.

5. Computations of the Euler Ring $U(\Gamma \times O(2))$

Before we begin our discussion about the Euler ring $U(\Gamma \times O(2))$ we collect the related to its computation information.

5.1. **Twisted Subgroups and Related Modules.** Let Γ is a finite group and $G = \Gamma \times S^1$. In this case, there are exactly two types of subgroups $H \leq G$, namely,

(a) the product groups $H = K \times S^1$ with K being a subgroup of Γ;
(b) the so-called *φ-twisted l-folded* subgroups $K^{\varphi,l}$ (in short, twisted subgroups) defined as follows: if K is a subgroup of Γ, $\varphi : K \to S^1$ a homomorphism and $l = 1, ...,$ then

$$K^{\varphi,l} := \{(\gamma, z) \in K \times S^1 : \ \varphi(\gamma) = z^l\}.$$

For a trivial homomorphism $\varphi : K \to S^1$, $\varphi(k) = 1$ for all $k \in K$, the instead of $K^{\varphi,l}$ we will write K^l, and if in addition $l = 1$, then we will simply write K. Moreover, if $l = 1$, then instead of $K^{\varphi,1}$ we will write K^{φ}.

Clearly S^1 can be identified with $SO(2) \subset O(2)$, then the twisted subgroups $K^{\varphi,l}$ are also amalgamated subgroups and we have

$$K^{\varphi,l} = K \times^{\varphi}_{\mathbb{Z}_k} \mathbb{Z}_n,$$

where $\varphi(K) = \mathbb{Z}_k$ and $n = k \cdot l$.

Example 5.1. Let us consider the group $S_4 \times S^1$. For the representatives of the conjugacy classes K in S_4, we introduce the following homomorphisms: $\varphi : K \to S^1$:

$z : D_n \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset S^1$, $\operatorname{Ker} z = \mathbb{Z}_n$, $n = 2, 3, 4$, $d : D_{2m} \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset S^1$, $\operatorname{Ker} d = D_m$, $m = 1, 2$,
$\widetilde{d} : D_4 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset S^1$, $\operatorname{Ker} \widetilde{d} = V_4$, $c : \mathbb{Z}_4 \to \mathbb{Z}_4 \subset S^1$, $\operatorname{Ker} c = \mathbb{Z}_1$,
$\nu : \mathbb{Z}_{2m} \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset S^1$, $\operatorname{Ker} \nu = \mathbb{Z}_m, m = 1, 2$, $\nu : V_4 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset S^1$, $\operatorname{Ker} \nu = \mathbb{Z}_2$,
$\nu : S_4 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset S^1$, $\operatorname{Ker} \nu = A_4$, $t : \mathbb{Z}_3 \to \mathbb{Z}_3 \subset S^1$, $\operatorname{Ker} t = \mathbb{Z}_1$,
$t : A_4 \to \mathbb{Z}_3 \subset S^1$, $\operatorname{Ker} t = V_4$.

In what follows, instead of $K^{\nu,l}$ we will write $K^{-,l}$, i.e. we have the twisted subgroups $\mathbb{Z}_2^{-,l}$, $\mathbb{Z}_4^{-,l}$, $V_4^{-,l}$, and $S_4^{-,l}$. All the twisted subgroups in $S_4 \times S^1$ are listed in Table 7.

Put

$$\Phi_1^t(G) := \{(H) \in \Phi(G) : \ H = K^{\varphi,l} \text{ for some } K \leq \Gamma\},$$

where $G := \Gamma \times S^1$ and Γ is a finite group. One can easily observe that $\Phi_1(G) = \Phi_1^t(G)$ and $\Phi_0(G)$ can be identified with $\Phi(\Gamma)$ (with $(K \times S^1)$ identified with (K)). Therefore, the Euler ring $U(G)$, as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module is $A(\Gamma) \oplus A_1(G)$, where (by following the same notations as in [5]) $A_1(G)$ stands for $\mathbb{Z}[\Phi_1(G)]$. It is well-known (see [5, 7]) that $A_1(G)$ admits a structure of $A(\Gamma)$-module. The Burnside ring structure $A(\Gamma)$ as well as the $A(\Gamma)$-module structure for$A_1(G)$ were explicitly described for many specific groups Γ (see [5]) and several computational routines were created for these algebraic structures.

The following result (cf. [49]) provides a more practical description of the multiplication in the Euler ring $U(G)$:

Proposition 5.1. *Let $G = \Gamma \times S^1$ with Γ being a finite group. Then the multiplication '$*$' in the Euler ring $U(G) = \mathbb{Z}[\Phi(G)] = \mathbb{Z}[\Phi_0(G)] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\Phi_1(G)] \simeq A(\Gamma) \oplus A_1(G)$ can be described by the following table*

H^φ	$\operatorname{Ker}\varphi$	$\operatorname{Im}\varphi$	$N(H^\varphi)$	$W(H^\varphi)$
S_4^l	S_4	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$S_4\times S^1$	S^1
A_4^l	A_4	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$S_4\times S^1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\times S^1$
D_4^l	D_4	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$D_4\times S^1$	S^1
D_3^l	D_3	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$D_3\times S^1$	S^1
D_2^l	D_2	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$D_4\times S^1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\times S^1$
$\mathbb{Z}_4^l$	$\mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$D_4\times S^1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\times S^1$
V_4^l	V_4	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$S_4\times S^1$	$S_3\times S^1$
$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$D_3\times S^1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\times S^1$
D_1^l	D_1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$D_2\times S^1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\times S^1$
$\mathbb{Z}_2^l$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$D_4\times S^1$	$V_4\times S^1$
$\mathbb{Z}_1^l$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$S_4\times S^1$	$S_4\times S^1$
$S_4^{-,l}$	A_4	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$S_4\times S^1$	S^1
$D_4^{z,l}$	$\mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$D_4\times S^1$	S^1
$D_4^{d,l}$	D_2	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$D_4\times S^1$	S^1
$D_4^{\hat{d},l}$	V_4	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$D_4\times S^1$	S^1
$A_4^{t,l}$	V_4	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$A_4\times S^1$	S^1
$D_3^{z,l}$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$D_3\times S^1$	S^1
$D_2^{z,l}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$D_4\times S^1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\times S^1$
$D_2^{d,l}$	D_1	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$D_2\times S^1$	S^1
$V_4^{-,l}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$D_4\times S^1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\times S^1$
$D_1^{z,l}$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$D_2\times S^1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\times S^1$
$\mathbb{Z}_4^{-,l}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$D_4\times S^1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\times S^1$
$\mathbb{Z}_4^{c,l}$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_4\times S^1$	S^1
$\mathbb{Z}_3^{t,l}$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{Z}_3\times S^1$	S^1
$\mathbb{Z}_2^{-,l}$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$D_4\times S^1$	$V_4\times S^1$

TABLE 7. Twisted subgroups in $S_4\times S^1$, where $\varphi: H\to S^1$ is a homomorphism $l\in\mathbb{N}$.

$*$	$A(\Gamma)$	$A_1(G)$
$A(\Gamma)$	*Burnside ring* $A(\Gamma)$ *multiplication*	$A(\Gamma)$*-module multiplication*
$A_1(G)$	$A(\Gamma)$*-module multiplication*	0

Example 5.2. Let us discuss as an example, the group $G=S_4\times S^1$. The representatives of the twisted conjugacy classes of subgroups in $G:=S_4\times S^1$ are listed in Table 7, where the upper part of the table also provides the list of the representatives of the conjugacy classes of subgroup in S_4. Thus, we have

$$\Phi(S_4):=\{(S_4),(A_4),(D_4),(D_3),(V_4),(D_2),(\mathbb{Z}_3),(D_1),(\mathbb{Z}_2),(\mathbb{Z}_1)\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1^t(S_4\times S^1):=&\{(S_4^l),(A_4^l),(D_4^l),(D_3^l),(V_4^l),(D_2^l),(\mathbb{Z}_3^l),(D_1^l),(\mathbb{Z}_2^l),(\mathbb{Z}_1^l)\\ &(S_4^{-,l}),(D_4^{z,l}),(D_4^{\hat{d},l}),(D_4^{d,l}),(A_4^{t,l}),(D_3^{z,l}),(D_2^{z,l}),(D_2^{d,l}),(V_4^{-,l}),(D_1^{z,l}),\\ &(\mathbb{Z}_4^{-,l}),(\mathbb{Z}_4^{c,l}),(\mathbb{Z}_3^{t,l}),(\mathbb{Z}_2^{-,l}),l\in\mathbb{N}\},\end{aligned}$$

where l is used to indicate that the twisted subgroup is l-folded. Therefore, the multiplication table for the Euler ring $U(S_4 \times S^1)$ can be easily established from Proposition 5.1 using the $A(S_4)$-module multiplication table for $A_1^t(S_4 \times S^1)$ (see [5], Table 6.14, which also contains the Burnside ring $A(S_4)$).

5.2. **Multiplication in the Euler Ring $U(\Gamma \times O(2))$.** Assume that Γ is a finite group and consider the group $G := \Gamma \times O(2)$. Our goal is to describe the multiplicative structure of the Euler ring $U(\Gamma \times O(2))$. More precisely, we are interested in finding algorithms allowing the computation of the products $(H) * (K)$ for (H), $(K) \in \Phi(\Gamma \times O(2)$. We will be using following information that is already available to us:

(a) The Burnside Ring $A(\Gamma \times O(2))$ is a part of the Euler ring $U(\Gamma \times O(2))$. In fact, the computer algorithms implemented in the software created by Hao-Pin Wu (cf. [59]) allow an effective computations of the full multiplication table for groups of type $\Gamma \times O(2))$. The size of such a table can be significant. For example, in the case of $G := S_4 \times O(2)$, this table has 5625 entries.
(b) The multiplicative structure of the Euler ring $U(\Gamma \times S^1)$, which was described in Proposition 5.1.

Denote by $\Psi : U(\Gamma \times O(2)) \to U(\Gamma \times S^1)$the Euler ring homomorphism induced by the natural embedding $\Gamma \times SO(2) \hookrightarrow \Gamma \times O(2)$ (here $SO(2) \simeq S^1$).

Therefore, for the group $G := \Gamma \times O(2)$ we have $\Phi_0(G) = \Phi_0^I(G) \cup \Phi_0^{II}(G) \cup \Phi_0^{III}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_0^{\mathrm{I}}(G) &:= \Phi_0(\Gamma \times SO(2));\\
\Phi_0^{\mathrm{II}}(G) &:= \{(K^{\varphi} \times_L D_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\};\\
\Phi_0^{\mathrm{III}}(G) &:= \{(K \times_L O(2)) : L := \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_2\};
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $\Phi_1(G) = \Phi_1(\Gamma \times SO(2))$.

In order to describe the Euler ring structure in $U(\Gamma \times O(2))$ we will apply the Euler ring homomorphism

$$\Psi : U(\Gamma \times O(2)) \to U(\Gamma \times S^1)$$

induced by the natural inclusion $\psi : \Gamma \times S^1 \to \Gamma \times O(2)$ and use the known Euler ring structure of $U(\Gamma \times S^1)$ (see Proposition 5.1) to describe the multiplicative structure of $U(\Gamma \times O(2))$. By direct application of the definition of the homomorphism Ψ, we obtain that

$$\Psi(\mathcal{H}) = \begin{cases} 2(H_o) & \text{if } H \leq \Gamma \times SO(2) \\ (H_o) & \text{if } H \not\leq \Gamma \times SO(2), \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

where $H_o := H \cap (\Gamma \times SO(2))$ is identified with a subgroup of $\Gamma \times S^1$.

We have the following straight forward but still important result:

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that Γ is a finite group and $G := \Gamma \times O(2)$. Then the multiplication table for the Euler ring $U(\Gamma \times O(2))$ can be completely determined from the multiplication table for the Burnside ring $A(G)$ and the $A(\Gamma)$-module structure $A_1^t(\Gamma \times S^1)$ via the Euler ring homomorphism $\Psi : U(\Gamma \times O(2)) \to U(\Gamma \times S^1)$. More precisely, for $(\mathcal{H})$, $(\mathcal{K}) \in \Phi(G)$, we can write the product of $(\mathcal{H})$ and $(\mathcal{K})$ as the following sum*

$$(\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{(\mathcal{L}) \in \Phi_0(G)} n_{\mathcal{L}} (\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{(\mathcal{L}') \in \Phi_1(G)} x_{\mathcal{L}'} (\mathcal{L}'),$$

where $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ are known coefficients, while $x_{\mathcal{L}'}$ are unknown coefficients that can be determined from the following relation

$$\sum_{(\mathcal{L}')\in\Phi_1(G)} x_{\mathcal{L}'}\,(\mathcal{L}') = \frac{1}{2}\left(\Psi(\mathcal{H})*\Psi(\mathcal{K}) - \sum_{(\mathcal{L})\in\Phi_0(G)} n_{\mathcal{L}}\,\Psi(\mathcal{L})\right). \tag{26}$$

and $(\mathcal{L}')\in\Phi_1(\Gamma\times SO(2))$.

Proof. Denote by $\mathscr{M}$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of $U(G)$ generated by $\Phi_1(G)$, i.e. $\mathscr{M}=\mathbb{Z}[\Phi_1(\Gamma\times SO(2))]$. Clearly, the restriction of the homomorphism Ψ to $\mathscr{M}$ is given by $\Psi(H)=2(H)$ for all $(H)\in\Phi_1(\Gamma\times SO(2))$. Therefore, $\Psi:\mathscr{M}\to U(\Gamma\times S^1)$ is a monomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. Indeed, by Theorem 4.4 two subgroups $H,\ K\le\Gamma\times SO(2)$ are conjugate in $\Gamma\times SO(2)$ if and only if they are conjugate in $\Gamma\times O(2)$. Next, by the definition of the Euler ring multiplication, for any $(\mathcal{H})$, $(\mathcal{K})\in\Phi(\Gamma\times O(2))$ we have

$$(\mathcal{H})*(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{(\mathcal{L})\in\Phi(G)} n_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L})$$

where $\mathcal{L}=g\mathcal{H}g^{-1}\cap\mathcal{K}$ for some $g\in\Gamma\times O(2)$. Since $\mathcal{L}\le\mathcal{H}$ implies $\dim W(\mathcal{L})\ge\dim W(\mathcal{H})$, if $(\mathcal{H})\in\Phi_1(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}=g\mathcal{H}g^{-1}\cap\mathcal{K}$, then $\dim W(\mathcal{L})=1$, i.e. $(\mathcal{L})\in\Phi_1(G)$.

On the other hand, we have for $(\mathcal{H})$, $(\mathcal{K})\in\Phi_0(\Gamma\times O(2))$ the following Burnside ring multiplication

$$(\mathcal{H})\cdot(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{(\mathcal{L})\in\Phi_0(G)} n_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L})$$

where all the coefficients $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be evaluated using the algorithmic formulae given in [5], and

$$(\mathcal{H})*(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{(\mathcal{L})\in\Phi_0(G)} n_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{(\mathcal{L}')\in\Phi_1(G)} x_{\mathcal{L}'}('\mathcal{L}), \tag{27}$$

where the coefficients $x_{\mathcal{L}'}$ are to be determined. Then, by applying the Euler ring homomorphism Ψ to (27) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(\mathcal{H})*\Psi(\mathcal{K}) &= \Psi\Big((\mathcal{H})*(\mathcal{K})\Big)\\
&= \Psi\left(\sum_{(\mathcal{L})\in\Phi_0(G)} n_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{(\mathcal{L}')\in\Phi_1(G)} x_{\mathcal{L}'}('\mathcal{L})\right)\\
&= \sum_{(\mathcal{L})\in\Phi_0(G)} n_{\mathcal{L}}\Psi(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{(\mathcal{L}')\in\Phi_1(G)} x_{\mathcal{L}'}\Psi('\mathcal{L})\\
&= \sum_{(\mathcal{L})\in\Phi_0(G)} n_{\mathcal{L}}\Psi(\mathcal{L}) + 2\sum_{(\mathcal{L}')\in\Phi_1(G)} x_{\mathcal{L}'}('\mathcal{L}).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the formula (26) follows. □

Example 5.3. (a) Suppose $(H\times SO(2))$, $(K\times SO(2))\in\Phi_0(\Gamma\times O(2))$, then clearly

$$(H\times SO(2))*(K\times SO(2)) = (H\times SO(2))\cdot(K\times SO(2)).$$

Indeed, since for all $g\in\Gamma\times O(2)$, we have for some $L\subset\Gamma$ that $gH\times SO(2)g^{-1}\cup K\times SO(2) = L\times SO(2)$, it follows that all the conjugacy classes $(\mathcal{L})$ in (27) (for $\mathcal{H}:=H\times SO(2)$ and $\mathcal{K}:=K\times SO(2)$) belong to $\Phi_0(\Gamma\times O(2))$. Consequently, for the elements $(H\times SO(2))$ and $(K\times SO(2))$ from $\Phi_0(\Gamma\times O(2))$, their Euler ring product coincides with their Burnside ring product.

(b) Consider now $(H\times SO(2))\in\Phi_0^{\mathrm{I}}(\Gamma\times O(2))$ and $(K^{\varphi,l})\in\Phi_1(\Gamma\times SO(2))$. Then the product of these two elements in $U(\Gamma\times O(2))$ is given by

$$(H\times SO(2))*(K^{\varphi,l})=\sum_{(\mathcal{L}')\in\Phi_1(G)}x_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{L}).$$

Notice that that the conjugacy classes $(\mathcal{L}')$ are such that for some $\gamma\in\Gamma$

$$\mathcal{L}'=\gamma H\gamma^{-1}\times SO(2)\cap K^{\varphi,l}=L^{\varphi,n},\quad L:=\gamma H\gamma^{-1}\cap K.$$

That implies $(\mathcal{L})\in\Phi_1^I(\Gamma\times O(2))$ and by applying the homomorphism Ψ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi\Big((H\times SO(2))*(K^{\varphi,l})\Big)&=\Psi\left(\sum_{(L)\in\Phi(\Gamma)}x_L(L^{\varphi,l})\right)\\&=\sum_{(L)\in\Phi(\Gamma)}x_L\Psi(L^{\varphi,l})\\&=\sum_{(L)\in\Phi(\Gamma)}2x_L(L^{\varphi,l}).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since Ψ is a ring homomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi\Big((H\times SO(2))*(K^{\varphi,l})\Big)&=\Psi(H\times SO(2))*\Psi(K^{\varphi,l})\\&=4(H\times SO(2))*(K^{\varphi,l})\\&=\sum_{(L)\in\Phi(\Gamma)}4m_L(L^{\varphi,l}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(H\times SO(2))\circ(K^{\varphi,l})=\sum_{(L)\in\Phi(\Gamma)}m_L(L^{\varphi,l}),$$

is the multiplication in $A(\Gamma)$-module $A_1(\Gamma\times S^1)$. Therefore, we obtain that $x_L=2m_L$, and the multiplication of such conjugacy classes in $U(\Gamma\times O(2))$ coincides with the products in $A(\Gamma)$-module $A_1(\Gamma\times S^1)$ multiplied by 2.

(c) If $(H^{\varphi,l})$, $(H'^{n',l'})\in\Phi_1(\Gamma\times SO(2))$, then we claim that $(H^{\varphi,n})*(H'^{\varphi',l'})=0$. Indeed, since

$$(H^{\varphi,l})*(H'^{\varphi',l'})=\sum_{i=1}^k x_i(H_i^{\varphi_i,l_i}),$$

then by applying the homomorphism Ψ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0=4(H^{\varphi,l})*(H'^{\varphi',l'})&=\Psi(H^{\varphi,l})*\Psi(H'^{\varphi',l'})\\&=\Psi((H^{\varphi,l})*(H'^{\varphi',l'}))=\Psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i(H_i^{\varphi_i,l_i})\right)\\&=2\sum_{i=1}^k x_i(H_i^{\varphi_i,l_i}),\end{aligned}$$

which implies $x_i=0$ for all i.

(d) Let $(\mathcal{H})$, $(\mathcal{K})\in\Phi_0^{II}(\Gamma\times O(2))$. Then, the space

$$\mathcal{Y}:=\frac{\Gamma\times O(2)}{\mathcal{H}}\times\frac{\Gamma\times O(2)}{\mathcal{K}}$$

has exactly two types of orbits $(\mathcal{L}) \in \Phi_0^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $(\mathcal{L}') \in \Phi_1^{\mathrm{I}}$. One can easily notice that if $(\mathcal{L})$ is an orbit type in $\mathcal{Y}$ which is also from Φ_0^{II}, then $(\mathcal{L}')$, where $\mathcal{L}' := \mathcal{L} \cap \Gamma \times SO(2)$, is also an orbit type in $\mathcal{Y}$. Moreover, $\Psi(\mathcal{L}) = (\mathcal{L}')$. On the other hand we have the following product in the Burnside ring $A(\Gamma \times O(2))$

$$(\mathcal{H}) \cdot (\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{\mathcal{L}} n_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}),$$

where $(\mathcal{L}) \in \Phi_0^{\mathrm{II}}(\Gamma \times O(2))$. Since these coefficients $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ are the same for the Euler ring multiplication, we have

$$(\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{\mathcal{L}} n_{\mathcal{L}}(L) + \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} m_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{L}'),$$

where $(\mathcal{L}_1'^{\mathrm{I}}(\Gamma \times O(2))$ and $m_{\mathcal{L}'}$ are unknown coefficients. Next, by applying the Euler ring homomorphism Ψ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \Psi(\mathcal{H}) * \Psi(\mathcal{K}) &= \Psi\Big((\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K})\Big) \\ &= \Psi\left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}} n_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} m_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{L}')\right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{L}} n_{\mathcal{L}}\Psi(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} m_{\mathcal{L}'}\Psi(\mathcal{L}') \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{L}} n_{\mathcal{L}}\Psi(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} 2m_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{L}'). \end{aligned}$$

Since for each orbit type $(\mathcal{L}')$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ there exists an orbit type $(\mathcal{L})$ in $\mathcal{Y}$, such that $\Psi(\mathcal{L}) = (\mathcal{L}')$, the above relations allow us to evaluate each $m_{\mathcal{L}'}$ in a unique way.

(e) Suppose now that $(\mathcal{H}) \in \Phi_1(\Gamma \times O(2))$ and $(\mathcal{K}) \in \Phi_0^{\mathrm{II}}(\Gamma \times O(2))$. Clearly, all the orbit types $(\mathcal{L}')$ in $\mathcal{Y} := \frac{\Gamma \times O(2)}{\mathcal{H}} \times \frac{\Gamma \times O(2)}{\mathcal{K}}$ belong to $\Phi_1(\Gamma \times O(2)$, thus we have

$$(\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{L}'),$$

where $n_{\mathcal{L}'}$ are unknown coefficients, thus by applying the Euler ring homomorphism Ψ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \Psi(\mathcal{H}) * \Psi(\mathcal{K}) &= \Psi\Big((\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K})\Big) \\ &= \Psi\left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{L}')\right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}\Psi(\mathcal{L}') \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}2(\mathcal{L}'), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that all $x_{\mathcal{L}'} = 0$.

(f) Assume now S that $(\mathcal{H}) \in \Phi_0^{\mathrm{I}}(\Gamma \times O(2))$ and $(\mathcal{K}) \in \Phi_0^{\mathrm{II}}(\Gamma \times O(2))$. Since all the orbit types $(\mathcal{L}')$ in $\mathcal{Y} := \frac{\Gamma \times O(2)}{\mathcal{H}} \times \frac{\Gamma \times O(2)}{\mathcal{K}}$ belong to $\Phi_1(\Gamma \times O(2))$, thus we have

$$(\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{L}'),$$

where $x_{\mathcal{L}'}$ are unknown coefficients, thus by applying the Euler ring homomorphism Ψ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\mathcal{H}) * \Psi(\mathcal{K}) = \Psi(\mathcal{H}) * \Psi(\mathcal{K}) &= \Psi\Big((\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K})\Big) \\ &= \Psi\left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{L}')\right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}\Psi(\mathcal{L}') \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}2(\mathcal{L}'). \end{aligned}$$

Put $\mathcal{H} = H \times SO(2)$ and $\mathcal{K} = K^{\theta,n}$. Then,

$$(\mathcal{H}) * \Psi(\mathcal{K}) = (H \times SO(2)) \circ (K^{\theta,n}) = \sum_{L} m_L(L^{\theta,n}),$$

where the last product is taken in the $A(\Gamma)$-module $A_1(\Gamma \times S^1)$. Consequently, we obtain the relations

$$\sum_{L} 2m_L(L^{\theta,n}) = \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}2(\mathcal{L}').$$

Notice, that the orbit types $(\mathcal{L}')$ are also of the type $(L^{\theta,n})$ for some $L \subset \Gamma$. Therefore, the above relations can be solved for $x_{\mathcal{L}'}$.

(g) Since for $(\mathcal{H})$), $(\mathcal{H}) \in \Phi_0^{\mathrm{III}}(\Gamma \times O(2)$, all the orbit types $(\mathcal{L})$ in $\mathcal{Y} := \frac{\Gamma\times O(2)}{\mathcal{H}} \times \frac{\Gamma\times O(2)}{\mathcal{K}}$ ether belong to $\Phi_0^{\mathrm{II}}(\Gamma \times O(2))$, or it is $(\mathcal{L}')$, where $\mathcal{L}' := \mathcal{L} \cap \Gamma \times SO(2)$, i.e. $(\mathcal{L}') \in \Phi_1(\Gamma \times O(2))$. Thus we have

$$(\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K}) = (\mathcal{H}) \cdot (\mathcal{K}),$$

i.e. the product $(\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K})$ coincide with the product $(\mathcal{H}) \cdot (\mathcal{K})$ in the Burnside ring $A(\Gamma\times)(2))$.

(h) Suppose now that $(\mathcal{H}) \in \Phi_0^{\mathrm{III}}(\Gamma \times O(2))$ and $(\mathcal{K}) \in \Phi_0^{\mathrm{II}}(\Gamma \times O(2))$. Clearly, all the orbit types in $\mathcal{Y} := \frac{\Gamma\times O(2)}{\mathcal{H}} \times \frac{\Gamma\times O(2)}{\mathcal{K}}$ belong to either to $\Phi_0^{\mathrm{II}}(\Gamma \times O(2)$ or $\Phi_1^{\mathrm{I}}(\Gamma \times O(2)$, thus we have

$$(\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{\mathcal{L}} n_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{L}'),$$

where the coefficients $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ are obtain from the product formula in the Burnside ring $A(\Gamma \times O(2))$ and the coefficients $x_{\mathcal{L}'}$ are unknown. By applying the Euler ring homomorphism Ψ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathcal{H}) * \Psi(\mathcal{K}) &= \Psi\Big((\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K})\Big) \\ &= \Psi\left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}} n_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{L}')\right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{L}} n_{\mathcal{L}}\Psi(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}\Psi(\mathcal{L}') \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{L}} n_{\mathcal{L}}\Psi(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}2(\mathcal{L}'). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand if $\mathcal{H} = H \times SO(2)$ and $\Psi(\mathcal{K}) = (K^{\theta,n})$, then

$$\Psi(\mathcal{H}) * \Psi(\mathcal{K}) = (H \times SO(2)) \circ (K^{\theta,n}) = \sum_{L} k_L(L^{\theta,n}),$$

where the last product is taken in the $A(\Gamma)$-module $A_1(\Gamma \times S^1)$. Consequently, we obtain the relations

$$\sum_L 2k_L(L^{\theta,n}) = \sum_{\mathcal{L}} n_{\mathcal{L}} \Psi(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'} 2(\mathcal{L}'),$$

which can be solved with respect to $x_{\mathcal{L}'}$.

(i) Assume now $(\mathcal{H}) \in \Phi_0^{\mathrm{III}}(\Gamma \times O(2))$ and $(\mathcal{K}) \in \Phi_1(\Gamma \times O(2))$. Then, all the orbit types $(\mathcal{L}')$ in $\mathcal{Y} := \frac{\Gamma \times O(2)}{\mathcal{H}} \times \frac{\Gamma \times O(2)}{\mathcal{K}}$ belong to $\Phi_1(\Gamma \times O(2)$, thus we have

$$(\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{L}'),$$

where the coefficients $x_{\mathcal{L}'}$ are unknown. By applying the Euler ring homomorphism Ψ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(\mathcal{H}) * \Psi(\mathcal{K}) &= \Psi\Big((\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K})\Big) \\
&= \Psi\left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{L}')\right) \\
&= \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'} \Psi(\mathcal{L}') \\
&= \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'} 2(\mathcal{L}').
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand if $\mathcal{H} = H \times SO(2)$ and $\Psi(\mathcal{K}) = (K^{\theta,n})$, then

$$\Psi(\mathcal{H}) * \Psi(\mathcal{K}) = 2(H \times SO(2)) \circ (K^{\theta,n}) = 2\sum_L k_L(L^{\theta,n}),$$

where the last product is taken in the $A(\Gamma)$-module $A_1(\Gamma \times S^1)$. Consequently, we obtain the relations

$$\sum_L 2k_L(L^{\theta,n}) = \sum_{\mathcal{L}'} x_{\mathcal{L}'} 2(\mathcal{L}'),$$

which can be solved with respect to $x_{\mathcal{L}'}$.

(j) Finally, assume $(\mathcal{H}) \in \Phi_0^{\mathrm{III}}(\Gamma \times O(2))$ and $(\mathcal{K}) \in \Phi_0^{\mathrm{I}}(\Gamma \times O(2))$. Then, all the orbit types $(\mathcal{L})$ in $\mathcal{Y} := \frac{\Gamma \times O(2)}{\mathcal{H}} \times \frac{\Gamma \times O(2)}{\mathcal{K}}$ belong to $\Phi_0^{\mathrm{I}}(\Gamma \times O(2)$, thus we have

$$(\mathcal{H}) * (\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{\mathcal{L}} n_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}),$$

where the coefficients $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ are obtain from the product formula in the Burnside ring $A(\Gamma \times O(2))$.

6. Properties of G-Equivariant Gradient Degree

In what follows we assume that G is a compact Lie group. In particular we will be interested in the case when $G = \Gamma \times O(2)$, where Γ is a finite group.

6.1. **G-Equivariant Degree Without Free Parameter.** Assume that V is an orthogonal G-representation and $\Omega \subset V$ an open bounded G-invariant set. A G-equivariant (continuous) map $f : V \to V$ is called Ω-*admissible* if $f(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$. Then the pair (f, Ω) is called G-*admissible*. We denote by $\mathcal{M}^G(V, V)$ the set of all such admissible G-pairs, and put $\mathcal{M}^G := \bigcup_V \mathcal{M}^G(V, V)$, where V is an orthogonal G-representation. We have the following result (see [5]):

Theorem 6.1. *There exists a unique map G-deg : $\mathcal{M}^G \to A(G)$, which assigns to every admissible G-pair (f,Ω) an element G-deg$(f,\Omega) \in A(G)$, called the G-equivariant degree (or simply G-degree) of f on Ω,*

$$G\text{-deg}(f,\Omega) = \sum_{(H_i)\in\Phi_0(G)} n_{H_i}(H_i) = n_{H_1}(H_1) + \cdots + n_{H_m}(H_m), \tag{28}$$

satisfying the following properties:

- ($G1$) ***(Existence)*** *If G-deg $(f,\Omega) \neq 0$, i.e. there is in* (28) *a non-zero coefficient n_{H_i}, then $\exists_{x\in\Omega}$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $(G_x) \geq (H_i)$.*
- ($G2$) ***(Additivity)*** *Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be two disjoint open G-invariant subsets of Ω such that $f^{-1}(0) \cap \Omega \subset \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$. Then,*

$$G\text{-deg}(f,\Omega) = G\text{-deg}(f,\Omega_1) + G\text{-deg}(f,\Omega_2).$$

- ($G3$) ***(Homotopy)*** *If $h : [0,1] \times V \to V$ is an Ω-admissible G-homotopy, then*

$$G\text{-deg}(h_t,\Omega) = \text{ constant.}$$

- ($G4$) ***(Normalization)*** *Let Ω be a G-invariant open bounded neighborhood of 0 in V. Then,*

$$G\text{-deg}(\mathrm{Id}\,,\Omega) = (G).$$

- ($G5$) ***(Multiplicativity)*** *For any $(f_1,\Omega_1),(f_2,\Omega_2) \in \mathcal{M}^G$,*

$$G\text{-deg}(f_1 \times f_2, \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) = G\text{-deg}(f_1,\Omega_1) \cdot G\text{-deg}(f_2,\Omega_2),$$

  where the multiplication '$\cdot$' is taken in the Burnside ring $A(G)$.
- ($G6$) ***(Suspension)*** *If W is an orthogonal G-representation and $\mathcal{B}$ is an open bounded invariant neighborhood of $0 \in W$, then*

$$G\text{-deg}(f \times \mathrm{Id}_W, \Omega \times \mathcal{B}) = G\text{-deg}(f,\Omega).$$

- ($G7$) ***(Recurrence Formula)*** *For an admissible G-pair (f,Ω), the G-degree* (28) *can be computed using the following recurrence formula*

$$n_H = \frac{\deg(f^H,\Omega^H) - \sum_{(K)>(H)} n_K\, n(H,K)\,|W(K)|}{|W(H)|}, \tag{29}$$

  where $|X|$ stands for the number of elements in the set X and $\deg(f^H,\Omega^H)$ is the Brouwer degree of the map $f^H := f|_{V^H}$ on the set $\Omega^H \subset V^H$.
- ($G8$) ***(Hopf Property)*** *Assume $B(V)$ is the unit ball of an orthogonal G-representation V and for $(f_1,B(V)),(f_2,B(V)) \in \mathcal{M}^G$, one has Γ-deg$\,(f_1,B(V)) = G$-deg$\,(f_2,B(V))$. Then, f_1 and f_2 are $B(V)$-admissible G-homotopic.*

Suppose that $\psi : G' \to G$ is a homomorphism between two (for simplicity) *finite* groups. Then, ψ induces a homomorphism $\Psi : A(G) \to A(G')$ of Burnside rings. More precisely, the formula

$$g'x := \psi(g')x \quad (g' \in G') \tag{30}$$

defines a G'-action on G. In particular, for any subgroup $H \subset G$, the map ψ induces the G'-action on G/H. Then,

$$\Psi(H) = \sum_{(K)\in\Phi_0(\Gamma')} n_K(K), \tag{31}$$

where

$$n_K := \left|(\Gamma/H)_{(K)}/\Gamma'\right| = \left|(\Gamma/H)_K/N(K)\right|. \tag{32}$$

(*G*9) **(Functoriality Property)** Let $(f,\Omega)\in\mathcal{M}^G$ and $\psi: G'\to G$ a homomorphism of finite groups. Then, $(f,\Omega)\ \in\mathcal{M}^{G'}$ and

$$G'\text{-deg}\,(f,\Omega)=\Psi\left[G\text{-deg}(f,\Omega)\right]. \tag{33}$$

6.2. ***G*-Equivariant Gradient Degree.** Let V be an orthogonal G-representation. Denote by $C^2_G(V,\mathbb{R})$ the space of G-invariant real C^2-functions on V. Let $\varphi\in C^2_G(V,\mathbb{R})$ and $\Omega\subset V$ be an open bounded invariant set such that $\nabla\varphi(x)\neq 0$ for $x\in\partial\Omega$. Then the pair (f,Ω) is called *G-gradient admissible*. Denote by $\mathcal{M}^G_\nabla(V,V)$ the set of all G-gradient Ω-admissible pairs in $\mathcal{M}^G(V,V)$ and put $\mathcal{M}^G_\nabla:=\bigcup_V\mathcal{M}^G_\nabla(V,V)$. In an obvious way, one can define a G-gradient Ω-admissible homotopy between two G-gradient Ω-admissible maps. Finally, given a G-gradient Ω-admissible homotopy class, one should have a concept of its "nice representatives". The corresponding concept of *special Ω-Morse functions* was elaborated by K.H. Mayer in [42].

Definition 6.1. A G-gradient Ω-admissible map $f:=\nabla\varphi$ is called a *special* Ω-Morse function if

(i) $f|_\Omega$ is of class C^1;
(ii) $f^{-1}(0)\cap\Omega$ is composed of regular zero orbits;
(iii) for each (H) with $f^{-1}(0)\cap\Omega_{(H)}\neq\emptyset$, there exists a tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(U,\varepsilon)$ such that f is (H)-normal on $\mathcal{N}(U,\varepsilon)$.

Theorem 6.2. *There exists a unique map ∇_G-deg $:\mathcal{M}^G_\nabla\to U(G)$, which assigns to every $(\nabla\varphi,\Omega)\in\mathcal{M}^G_\nabla$ an element ∇_G-deg$\,(\nabla\varphi,\Omega)\in U(G)$, called the G-gradient degree of $\nabla\varphi$ on Ω,*

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi,\Omega)=\sum_{(H_i)\in\Phi(\Gamma)}n_{H_i}(H_i)=n_{H_1}(H_1)+\cdots+n_{H_m}(H_m), \tag{34}$$

satisfying the following properties:

(∇1) ***(Existence)*** *If ∇_G-deg$\,(\nabla\varphi,\Omega)\neq 0$, i.e. there is in* (34) *a non-zero coefficient n_{H_i}, then $\exists_{x\in\Omega}$ such that $\nabla\varphi(x)=0$ and $(G_x)\geq(H_i)$.*

(∇2) ***(Additivity)*** *Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be two disjoint open G-invariant subsets of Ω such that $(\nabla\varphi)^{-1}(0)\cap\Omega\subset\Omega_1\cup\Omega_2$. Then,*

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi,\Omega)=\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi,\Omega_1)+\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi,\Omega_2).$$

(∇3) ***(Homotopy)*** *If $\nabla_v\Psi:[0,1]\times V\to V$ is a G-gradient Ω-admissible homotopy, then*

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla_v\Psi(t,\cdot),\Omega)=\ \textit{constant.}$$

(∇4) ***(Normalization)*** *Let $\varphi\in C^2_G(V,\mathbb{R})$ be a special Ω-Morse function such that $(\nabla\varphi)^{-1}(0)\cap\Omega=G(v_0)$ and $G_{v_0}=H$. Then,*

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi,\Omega)=(-1)^{m^-(\nabla^2\varphi(v_0))}\cdot(H),$$

where "$m^-(\cdot)$" stands for the total dimension of eigenspaces for negative eigenvalues of a (symmetric) matrix.

(∇5) ***(Multiplicativity)*** *For all $(\nabla\varphi_1,\Omega_1)$, $(\nabla\varphi_2,\Omega_2)\in\mathcal{M}^G_\nabla$,*

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi_1\times\nabla\varphi_2,\Omega_1\times\Omega_2)=\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi_1,\Omega_1)*\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi_2,\Omega_2)$$

where the multiplication '$*$' is taken in the Euler ring $U(G)$.

(∇6) ***(Suspension)*** *If W is an orthogonal G-representation and $\mathcal{B}$ an open bounded invariant neighborhood of $0\in W$, then*

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi\times\mathrm{Id}_W,\Omega\times\mathcal{B})=\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi,\Omega).$$

(∇7) ***(Hopf Property)*** *Assume $B(V)$ is the unit ball of an orthogonal Γ-representation V and for $(\nabla\varphi_1, B(V)), (\nabla\varphi_2, B(V)) \in \mathcal{M}_{\nabla}^{G}$, one has*

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi_1, B(V)) = \nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi_2, B(V)).$$

Then, $\nabla\varphi_1$ and $\nabla\varphi_2$ are G-gradient $B(V)$-admissible homotopic.

(∇8) ***(Functoriality Property)*** *Let V be an orthogonal G-representation, $f : V \to V$ a G-gradient Ω-admissible map, and $\psi : G_o \hookrightarrow G$ an embedding of Lie groups (here we assume that G and G_o have the same dimensions). Then, ψ induces a G_o-action on V such that f is an Ω-admissible G_o-gradient map, and the following equality holds*

$$\Psi[\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(f, \Omega)] = \nabla_{G_o}\text{-deg}(f, \Omega), \tag{35}$$

where $\Psi : U(G) \to U(G_o)$ is the homomorphism of Euler rings induced by ψ.

(∇9) ***(Reduction Property)*** *Let V be an orthogonal G-representation, $f : V \to V$ a G-gradient Ω-admissible map, then*

$$\pi_0\left[\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(f, \Omega)\right] = G\text{-deg}\,(f, \Omega). \tag{36}$$

where the ring homomorphism $\pi_0 : U(G) \to A(G)$ is given by (13).

Proof. **(Functoriality Property)** (∇8): It is sufficient to assume that f is special Ω-Morse function such that $(\nabla\varphi)^{-1}(0) \cap \Omega = G(v_0)$ and $G_{v_0} = H$. Then clearly the orbit $G_o(v_0)$ has the same dimension as $G(v_0)$, which implies that they share the same slice S at the point v_0. Since $(G_o)_{v_0} = G_o \cap H =: H_o$, it follows that $S^{H_o} \supset S^H$, which implies that f is also special Ω-Morse function with respect to the group action G_o, and therefore

$$\nabla_{G_o}\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi, \Omega) = (-1)^{m^-(\nabla^2\varphi(v_0))} \cdot \Psi(H).$$

□

Remark 6.1. Let us point out that the **Functoriality Property** for the gradient degree was *overstated* in [7] (see property (∇8), page 30). This statement is not true for general Lie groups G and G_o. Indeed, consider for example $G = S^1$ and $G_o = \mathbb{Z}_n$, $n \geq 3$, and let $V := \mathbb{C}$ with the action of S^1 and $\mathbb{Z}_3$ by complex multiplication. Then for $f := -\text{Id} : V \to V$ we have

$$\nabla_{G_o}\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi, \Omega) = (\mathbb{Z}_n) - (\mathbb{Z}_1), \quad \nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi, \Omega) = (S^1) - (\mathbb{Z}_1).$$

On the other hand, $\Psi(S^1) = (\mathbb{Z}_n)$, $\Psi(\mathbb{Z}_1) = 0$, so

$$(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \Psi\left[\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi, \Omega)\right] \neq \nabla_{G_o}\text{-deg}\,(\nabla\varphi, \Omega).$$

Remark 6.2. We would like to point out that the Euler homomorphism described in Functoriality Property (∇8) may take a generator (H) into a linear combination (with positive coefficients) of more then one generator from $\Phi(G_o \times \Gamma)$. Indeed, consider $G_o := A_4 \times S^1 \leq S_4 \times S^1 =: G$, then $\Psi(\mathbb{Z}_3^t) = (\mathbb{Z}_3^{t_1}) + (\mathbb{Z}_3^{t_2})$ (see [5] for the explanation of notation).

6.3. **G-Equivariant Gradient Degree in Hilbert Spaces.** Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a Hilbert space and consider a C^1-differentiable G-invariant functional and $f : \mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 - \varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathscr{H}$. Then

$$\nabla f(x) = x - \nabla\varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathscr{H}.$$

We will say that the functional φ is admissible if $\nabla\varphi : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}$ is a completely continuous map. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathscr{H}$ is a G-invariant bounded open set such that the map $\nabla f : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}$ is Ω-admissible, i.e.

$$\forall_{x \in \Omega} \quad \nabla f(x) = x - \nabla\varphi(x) \neq 0.$$

By a *G-equivariant approximation scheme* $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathscr{H}$, we mean a sequence of G-equivariant orthogonal projections $P_n : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that:

(a) the subspaces $\mathscr{H}^n := P_n(\mathscr{H})$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, are finite-dimensional;
(b) $\mathscr{H}^n \subset \mathscr{H}^{n+1}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$;
(c) $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_n x = x$ for all $x \in \mathscr{H}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that $\varphi : \mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a G-equivariant C^1-differentiable admissible functional and let $\Omega \subset \mathscr{H}$ be a G-invariant bounded open set such that ∇f, where $f(x) := \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 - \varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathscr{H}$, is Ω-admissible. Let $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a G-equivariant approximation scheme in $\mathscr{H}$. Define $f_n : \mathscr{H}_n \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f_n(x) := \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 - \varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathscr{H}_n$. Then for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the maps $\nabla f_n(x) := x - P_n\nabla\varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathscr{H}$, are Ω_n-admissible, where $\Omega_n := \Omega \cap \mathscr{H}_n$.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that there exists a sequence $\{x_{n_k}\} \subset \partial\Omega$, such that $x_{n_k} = P_{n_k}\nabla\varphi(x_{n_k})$. Since the map $\nabla\varphi : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}$ is completely continuous, we can assume without loss of generality that the sequence $\{\nabla\varphi(x_{n_k})\}$ converges to x_* as $k \to \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_* - P_{n_k}\nabla\varphi(x_{n_k})\| &\le \|x_* - P_{n_k}x_*\| + \|P_{n_k}(x_* - \nabla\varphi(x_{n_k}))\| \\ &\le \|x_* - P_{n_k}x_*\| + \|P_{n_k}\| \cdot \|x_* - \nabla\varphi(x_{n_k})\| \\ &= \|x_* - P_{n_k}x_*\| + \|x_* - \nabla\varphi(x_{n_k})\| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $x_{n_k} = P_{n_k}\nabla\varphi(x_{n_k}) \to x_*$ as $k \to \infty$, and therefore, by continuity of $\nabla\varphi$, $x_* - \nabla\varphi(x_*) = 0$, Since $\partial\Omega$ is closed, $x_* \in \partial\Omega$, which is a contradiction with the assumption that ∇f is Ω-admissible. □

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that $\varphi : \mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a G-equivariant C^1-differentiable admissible functional and let $\Omega \subset \mathscr{H}$ be a G-invariant bounded open set such that ∇f, where $f(x) := \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 - \varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathscr{H}$, is Ω-admissible. Let $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a G-equivariant approximation scheme in $\mathscr{H}$. Define $f_n : \mathscr{H}_n \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f_n(x) := \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 - \varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathscr{H}_n$. Then for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the maps $\mathfrak{h}_n : [0,1] \times \mathscr{H}_{n+1} \to \mathscr{H}_{n+1}$, defined by*

$$\mathfrak{h}_n(x) := x - tP_n\nabla\varphi(x) - (1-t)P_{n+1}\nabla\varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathscr{H}_{n+1},$$

are are Ω_{n+1}-admissible homotopies, where $\Omega_{n+1} := \Omega \cap \mathscr{H}_{n+1}$.

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that there exists sequence $\{x_{n_k}\} \subset \partial\Omega$ and $\{t_{n_k}\} \subset [0,1]$, such that $x_{n_k} = t_{n_k}P_{n_k}\nabla\varphi(x_{n_k}) + (1-t_{n_k})P_{n_k+1}\nabla\varphi(x_{n_k})$. Since the map $\nabla\varphi : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}$ is completely continuous, we can assume without loss of generality that the sequence $\{\nabla\varphi(x_{n_k})\}$ converges to x_* and t_{n_k} converges to t_* as $k \to \infty$. Then $t_{n_k}P_{n_k}\nabla\varphi(x_{n_k}) + (1-t_{n_k})P_{n_k+1}\nabla\varphi(x_{n_k}) \to x_*$ as $k \to \infty$, and therefore $x_{n_k} \to x_*$. Therefore, by continuity of $\nabla\varphi$,

$$x_* = t_*\nabla\varphi(x_*) + (1-t_*)\nabla\varphi(x_*) = \nabla\varphi(x_*).$$

Since $\partial\Omega$ is closed, $x_* \in \partial\Omega$, which is a contradiction with the assumption that ∇f is Ω-admissible. □

Consider therefore a G-equivariant C^1-differentiable admissible functional $\varphi : \mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathscr{H}$ be a G-invariant bounded open set such that ∇f, where $f(x) := \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 - \varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathscr{H}$, is Ω-admissible. In order to define the G-equivariant gradient degree $\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla f, \Omega)$, we consider a G-equivariant approximation scheme $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathscr{H}$, define $f_n : \mathscr{H}_n \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f_n(x) := \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 - \varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathscr{H}_n$. Then by Lemma 6.1, $\nabla f_n : \mathscr{H}_n \to \mathscr{H}_n$ is Ω_n-admissible for n sufficiently large, where $\Omega_n := \Omega \cap \mathscr{H}_n$, and therefore the G-equivariant degrees $\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla f_n, \Omega_n)$ are well-defined for n sufficiently large. On the other hand, by Lemma 6.2 and the Suspension Property of the G-equivariant gradient degree, for sufficiently large n we have

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla f_n, \Omega_n) = \nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla f_{n+1}, \Omega_{n+1})$$

which implies that we can put

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla f, \Omega) := \nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(\nabla f_n, \Omega_n), \quad \text{where } n \text{ is sufficiently large.} \tag{37}$$

One can easily verify that this construction doesn't depend on the choice of a G-approximation scheme in the space $\mathscr{H}$. We should mention that the ideas behind the usage of the approximation methods to define topological degree can be rooted to [9].

The G-equivariant gradient degree defined by (37) has all the standard properties of a topological degree, i.e. existence, additivity, homotopy and multiplicativity.

6.4. **Computations of the Gradient G-Equivariant Degree.** Similarly to the case of the Brouwer degree, the gradient equivariant degree can be computed using standard linearization techniques. Therefore, it is important to establish computational formulae for linear gradient operators.

Let V be an orthogonal (finite-dimensional) G-representation and suppose that $A : V \to V$ is a G-equivariant symmetric isomorphism of V, i.e. $A := \nabla\varphi$, where $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2}Ax \bullet x$. Consider the G-isotypical decomposition of V

$$V = \bigoplus_i V_i, \quad V_i \ \text{ modeled on } \mathcal{V}_i.$$

We assume here that $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_i$ is the complete list of irreducible G-representations.

Let $\sigma(A)$ denote the spectrum of A and $\sigma_-(A)$ the negative spectrum of A, i.e.

$$\sigma_-(A) := \{\lambda \in \sigma(A) : \lambda < 1\},$$

and let $E_\mu(A)$ stands for the eigenspace of A corresponding to $\mu \in \sigma(A)$. Put $\Omega := \{x \in V : \|x\| < 1\}$. Then A is Ω-admissibly homotopic (in the class of gradient maps) to a linear operator $A_o : V \to V$ such that

$$A_o(v) := \begin{cases} -v & \text{if } \ v \in E_\mu(A),\ \mu \in \sigma_-(A), \\ v & \text{if } \ v \in E_\mu(A),\ \mu \in \sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_-(A). \end{cases}$$

In other words, $A_o|_{E_\mu(A)} = -\mathrm{Id}$ for $\mu \in \sigma_-(A)$ and $A_o|_{E_\mu(A)} = \mathrm{Id}$ for $\mu \in \sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_-(A)$. Suppose that $\mu \in \sigma_-(A)$, then denote by $m_i(\mu)$ the integer

$$m_i(\mu) := \dim\left(E_\mu(A) \cap V_i\right)/\dim \mathcal{V}_i,$$

which is called the $\mathcal{V}_i$-*multiplicity* of μ. Since $\nabla_G\text{-deg}\left(\mathrm{Id}, \mathcal{V}_i\right) = (G)$ is the unit element in $U(G)$, we immediately obtain, by Multiplicativity property ($\nabla 5$), the following formula

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}\left(A, \Omega\right) = \prod_{\mu \in \sigma_-(A)} \prod_i \left[\nabla_G\text{-deg}\left(-\mathrm{Id}, B(\mathcal{V}_i)\right)\right]^{m_i(\mu)}, \tag{38}$$

where $B(W)$ is the unit ball in W.

Definition 6.2. Assume that $\mathcal{V}_i$ is an irreducible G-representation. Then the G-equivariant gradient degree:

$$\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_i} := \nabla_G\text{-deg}\left(-\mathrm{Id}, B(\mathcal{V}_i)\right) \in U(G)$$

is called the *gradient G-equivariant basic degree* for $\mathcal{V}_i$.

Clearly, the basic degrees $\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_i}$ are invertible elements in $U(G)$.

Remark 6.3. For $\widetilde{G} = \Gamma \times S^1$, where Γ is a finite group, the computation of basic gradient degrees $\widetilde{\mathrm{Deg}}_{\mathcal{V}_o}$ (here $\mathcal{V}_o$ stands for an irreducible $\Gamma \times S^1$-representation) can be completely reduced to the computation of basic degrees $\deg_{\mathcal{V}_i}$ without parameter and twisted basic degrees $\deg_{\mathcal{V}_{j,l}}$ with one free parameter. Namely, we have the following types of irreducible $\widetilde{G}$-representations: (i) irreducible Γ-representation $\mathcal{V}_i$, where S^1 acts trivially; (ii) the representations $\mathcal{V}_{j,l}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathcal{V}_{j,l}$ as a Γ-representation is equivalent to a complex irreducible Γ-representation $\mathcal{U}_j$, and the S^1

action on $\mathcal{V}_{j,l}$ is given by *l-folding*, i.e. $e^{i\alpha}v := e^{il\alpha}\cdot v$, $v\in\mathcal{V}_{j,l}$, $e^{i\alpha}\in S^1$ and '$\cdot$' stands for complex multiplication. Then:

(i) $\widetilde{\mathrm{Deg}}_{\mathcal{V}_i} = \widetilde{\deg}_{\mathcal{V}_i}$;

(ii) $\widetilde{\mathrm{Deg}}_{\mathcal{V}_{j,l}} = (\widetilde{G}) - \widetilde{\deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{j,l}}$,

where $\widetilde{\deg}_{\mathcal{V}_i} = \widetilde{G}\text{-deg}\,(-\mathrm{Id}\,, B(\mathcal{V}_i)) \in A(\widetilde{G})$ and $\deg_{\mathcal{V}_{j,l}}$ is the so-called *twisted basic degree* (see [5] for more details and definitions). More precisely, the basic degree

$$\widetilde{\deg}_{\mathcal{V}_i} = (\widetilde{G}) + n_{L_1}(L_1) + \cdots + n_{L_n}(L_n),$$

can be computed from the recurrence formula

$$n_{L_k} = \frac{(-1)^{n_k} - \sum_{L_k<L_l} n(L_k,L_k)\cdot n_{L_l}\cdot |W(L_l)|}{|W(L_k)|}, \quad n_k = \dim \mathcal{V}_i^{L_k} \tag{39}$$

and the twisted twisted degree

$$\widetilde{\deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{j,l}} = n_{H_1}(H_1) + n_{H_2}(H_2) + \cdots + n_{H_m}(H_m),$$

can be computed from the recurrence formula

$$n_{H_k} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\dim\, \mathcal{V}_{j,l}^{H_k} - \sum_{H_k<H_s} n_{H_s}\, n(H_k,H_s)\, |W(H_s)/S^1|}{\left|\frac{W(H_k)}{S^1}\right|}. \tag{40}$$

One can also find in [5] complete lists of these basic degrees for several groups $\widetilde{G} = \Gamma\times S^1$.

The Case $G := \Gamma\times O(2)$ with Γ being a finite group: Consider a symmetric G-equivariant linear isomorphism $T: V\to V$, where V is an orthogonal G-representation, i.e. $T = \nabla\varphi$ for $\varphi(v) = \frac{1}{2}(Tv\bullet v)$, $v\in V$, where "$\bullet$" stands for the inner product. We will show how to compute $\nabla_G\text{-deg}\,(T, B(V))$.

Assume that $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=0}^r$ denotes the collection of all real Γ-irreducible representations and

$$\{\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_l, \mathcal{U}_{l+1}, \dots\}$$

denotes the collection of all real irreducible $O(2)$-representations, where (following [5]) $\mathcal{U}_0\simeq\mathbb{R}$ is the trivial representation of $O(2)$, $\mathcal{U}_{\frac{1}{2}}\simeq\mathbb{R}$ is the one-dimensional irreducible real representation, on which $O(2)$ acts through the homomorphism $O(2)\to O(2)/SO(2)\simeq\mathbb{Z}_2$, and $\mathcal{U}_l\simeq\mathbb{C}$, $l\in\mathbb{N}$, is the two-dimensional irreducible real representation of $O(2)$ with the action of $O(2)$ given by

$$e^{i\theta}z := e^{il\theta}\cdot z, \quad e^{i\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \in SO(2),$$

$$\kappa z := \overline{z}, \quad \kappa == \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where '$\cdot$' denotes complex multiplication.

There are three types of irreducible G-representations $\mathcal{V}_o$: (i) those irreducible G-representations on which $O(2)$ acts trivially, i.e. $\mathcal{V}_o$ is in fact an irreducible Γ-representation (there is no $O(2)$-action) which we will denote by $\mathcal{V}_i$, $i=0,1,2,\dots,r$, (ii) those irreducible G-representations on which $SO(2)$ acts trivially and $SO(2)\kappa$ acts by multiplication by -1, which we will denote by $\mathcal{V}_i^-$, $i=0,1,2,\dots,r$, and finally we have (iii) those irreducible G-representations on which $S^1 = SO(2)$ acts non-trivially. Therefore, each of such irreducible G-representations $\mathcal{V}_o$ admits a complex structure induced by the action of S^1 and there exists $l\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for all $z\in S^1$, $v\in\mathcal{V}_o$, $zv = z^l\cdot v$ (here '$\cdot$' denotes the complex multiplication). One can show that there exists an irreducible Γ-representation $\mathcal{V}_i$ such that $\mathcal{V}_o = \mathcal{V}_i\otimes_{\mathbb{R}} U_l$. In such a case, we will denote this irreducible G-representation by $\mathcal{V}_{i,l}$, $i=0,1,2,\dots,r$, $l=1,2,\dots$. The irreducible G-representation

$\mathcal{V}_{i,l}$ can be easily described: as Γ-representation it is $\mathcal{V}_{j,l} = \mathcal{V}_i \oplus \mathcal{V}_i$ with $z \in \mathcal{V}_{i,l}$ written as $z = (x, y)^T$, $x, y \in \mathcal{V}_i$, where S^1-action is given by

$$e^{i\alpha} z := \begin{bmatrix} \cos(l\alpha)\,\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{V}_i} & -\sin(l\alpha)\,\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{V}_i} \\ \sin(l\alpha)\,\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{V}_i} & \cos(l\alpha)\,\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{V}_i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\kappa z = \kappa(x, y)^T = (y, x)^T$, for $(x, y)^T \in \mathcal{V}_{i,l}$.

Let us describe the algorithm for the computation of the basic gradient degrees $\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_i}$, $\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_i^-}$ and $\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}}$. Notice that the degrees $\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_i}$ and $\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_i^-}$ belong to $A(G)$, therefore they can be computed using the recurrence formula (29). In the case of the gradient basic degree $\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}}$, consider two elements $\mathrm{Deg}^0_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}} \in A(G)$ and $\mathrm{Deg}^1_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}} \in \mathbb{Z}[\Phi_1(G)]$ such that

$$\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}} = \mathrm{Deg}^0_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}} + \mathrm{Deg}^1_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}}. \tag{41}$$

Then,

$$\mathrm{Deg}^0_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}} = \pi_0[\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}}] = n_1(L_1) + \cdots + n_m(L_m), \tag{42}$$

and the coefficients n_j, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ can be effectively computed from the recurrence formula (29). Since the coefficients x_j in

$$\mathrm{Deg}^1_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}} = x_1(H_1) + \cdots + x_s(H_s), \tag{43}$$

are unknown, by Functoriality Property we can apply the Euler ring homomorphisms $\Psi : U(\Gamma \times O(2)) \to U(\Gamma \times S^1)$. More precisely, since $\mathcal{V}_{i,l}$ is also an irreducible $\Gamma \times S^1$-representation, we have

$$\Psi[\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}}] = \widetilde{\mathrm{Deg}}_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}},$$

where $\widetilde{\mathrm{Deg}}_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}}$ denotes the corresponding gradient basic degree for $\widetilde{G}$. Then, by applying Ψ to (41), (41) and (43), we obtain

$$2x_1(H_1) + \cdots + 2x_s(H_s) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Deg}}_{\mathcal{V}_{i,l}} - n_1\Psi(L_1) + \cdots + n_m\Psi(L_m), \tag{44}$$

which is a system of simple linear equations, which can be easily solved (see Example 6.1 below).

Consequently, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 6.3. *For a given group $G := \Gamma \times O(2)$ all the basic degrees can be effectively computed by applying Euler ring homomorphism $\Psi : U(\Gamma \times O(2)) \to U(\Gamma \times S^1)$ and the gradient basic degrees $\widetilde{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_o}$ for the group $\widetilde{G} := \Gamma \times S^1$.*

Example 6.1. Gradient Basic Degrees for Irreducible $S_4 \times O(2)$-Representations: Let us illustrate the usage of the Euler homomorphism $\Psi : U(\Gamma \times O(2)) \to U(\Gamma \times S^1)$ to compute partially the gradient basic degrees for $S_4 \times O(2)$-actions. The full computations of these degrees, although elementary, are beyond the format of this paper.

There are exactly five real irreducible representations of S_4: the one-dimensional trivial representation $\mathcal{W}_0$, the one-dimensional representation $\mathcal{W}_1$ induced by the homomorphism $S_4 \to S_4/A_4 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$, the two-dimensional representation $\mathcal{W}_2$ corresponding to the homomorphism $S_4 \to S_4/V_4 = D_3 \subset O(2)$, and two three-dimensional representations, one $\mathcal{W}_3$ – the natural representation of S_4 and $\mathcal{W}_4$ – the tensor product $\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3$.

Then we have the following irreducible orthogonal $S_4 \times O(2)$-representations:

(i) The representations $\mathcal{V}_i \simeq \mathcal{W}_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.
(ii) The representations $\mathcal{V}_i^- \simeq \mathcal{W}_i \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$,

(iii) The representations $\mathcal{V}_{l,i} \simeq \mathcal{W}_i \otimes \mathcal{U}_l$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

For the representations of the type (i), we have the following gradient basic degrees:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_0} &= -(S_4 \times O(2)),\\
\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_1} &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - (A_4 \times O(2)),\\
\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_2} &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - 2(D_4 \times O(2)) + (V_4 \times O(2)),\\
\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_3} &= (S_4) - 2(D_3 \times O(2)) - (D_2 \times O(2)) + 3(D_1 \times O(2)) - (\mathbb{Z}_1 \times O(2)),\\
\mathrm{deg}_{\mathcal{V}_4} &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - (\mathbb{Z}_4 \times O(2)) - (D_1 \times O(2)) - (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times O(2)) + (\mathbb{Z}_1 \times O(2)).
\end{aligned}$$

For the representations of the type (ii), we have the following gradient basic degrees:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Deg}^-_{\mathcal{V}_0} &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - (S_4 \times SO(2)),\\
\mathrm{Deg}^-_{\mathcal{V}_1} &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - ({S_4}^{A_4} \times_{D_1} O(2)),\\
\mathrm{Deg}^-_{\mathcal{V}_2} &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - (D_4 \times SO(2)) - ({D_4}^{V_4} \times_{D_1} O(2)) + (V_4 \times SO(2)),\\
\mathrm{Deg}^-_{\mathcal{V}_3} &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - ({D_4}^{D_2} \times_{D_1} O(2)) - (D_3 \times SO(2)) - ({D_2}^{D_1} \times_{D_1} O(2))\\
&\quad + ({\mathbb{Z}_2}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_1} O(2)) + 2(D_1 \times SO(2)) - (\mathbb{Z}_1 \times SO(2)),\\
\mathrm{Deg}^-_{\mathcal{V}_4} &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - ({D_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \times_{D_1} O(2)) - ({D_3}^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \times_{D_1} O(2)) - ({D_2}^{D_1} \times_{D_1} O(2))\\
&\quad + ({\mathbb{Z}_2}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_1} O(2)) + 2({D_1}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_1} O(2)) - (\mathbb{Z}_1 \times SO(2)).
\end{aligned}$$

For the representations of the type (iii) ($l \in \mathbb{N}$), the gradient basic degrees can be obtained (as an example) using the Euler homomorphism Ψ:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{0,l}} &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - ({S_4}^{S_4} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_l),\\
\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{1,l}} &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - ({S_4}^{A_4} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2l}),\\
\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{2,l}} &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - ({S_4}^{V_4} \times_{D_3} D_{3l}) - (D_4 \times D_l) - ({D_4}^{V_4} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2l})\\
&\quad + (V_4 \times D_l) + 2({D_4}^{V_4} \times_{D_1} D_l) + x_1(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_l),
\end{aligned}$$

where x_1 is an unknown. Then we have (see [5])

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{2,l}}) &= (S_4 \times S^1) - (A_4^{t,l}) - (D_4^l) - (D_4^{\hat{d},l}) + (V_4) + (2x_1 + 2)(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_l)\\
&= (S_4 \times S^1) - (A_4^{t,l}) - (D_4^l) - (D_4^{\hat{d},l}) + (V_4) \quad \text{(basic degree for } S_4 \times S^1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $x_1 = -1$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{3,l}} = \ & (S_4 \times O(2)) - ({D_4}^{D_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2l}) - (D_3 \times D_l) - ({D_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_4} D_{4l})\\
& - ({D_2}^{D_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2l}) - ({D_3}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_3} D_{3l}) + ({D_2}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{D_1} \times_{D_2} D_{2l}) + ({V_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_2} D_{2l})\\
& + ({D_2}^{D_1} \times_{D_1} D_l) + ({\mathbb{Z}_2}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2l}) + 2(D_1 \times D_l) - ({\mathbb{Z}_2}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_1} D_l)\\
& - (\mathbb{Z}_1 \times D_l) + x_1(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2l}) + x_2(D_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_l) + x_3(\mathbb{Z}_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_l),
\end{aligned}$$

where x_1, x_2 and x_3 are unknown. Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{3,l}}) &= (S_4 \times S^1) - (D_4^{d,l}) - (D_3^l) - (\mathbb{Z}_4^{c,l}) - (D_2^{d,l}) - (\mathbb{Z}_3^{t,l}) + (2x_1 - 1)(\mathbb{Z}_2^{-,l})\\
&\quad + (2x_2 + 3)(D_1^l) + (2x_3 - 2)(\mathbb{Z}_1^l)\\
&= (S_4 \times S^1) - (D_4^{d,l}) - (D_3^l) - (\mathbb{Z}_4^{c,l}) - (D_2^{d,l}) - (\mathbb{Z}_3^{t,l}) + (\mathbb{Z}_2^{-,l})\\
&\quad + (D_1^l) \quad \text{(basic degree for } S_4 \times S^1),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = -2$ and $x_3 = 0$. Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{4,l}} &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - (D_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2l}) - (D_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2l}) - (D_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_4} D_{4l})\\ &\quad - (D_2{}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2l}) - (D_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_3} D_{3l}) + (D_2{}_{D_1}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_2} D_{2l}) + (D_2{}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_2} D_{2l})\\ &\quad + (V_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_2} D_{2l}) + 2(D_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2l}) + (\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2l}) - (\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_l)\\ &\quad - (\mathbb{Z}_1 \times D_l) + x_1(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2l}) + x_2(D_1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{2l}) + x_3(\mathbb{Z}_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_l).\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{4,l}}) &= (S_4 \times S^1) - (D_4^{z,l}) - (\mathbb{Z}_4^{c,l}) - (D_2^{d,l}) - (D_3^{z,l}) - (\mathbb{Z}_3^{t,l}) + (2x_1 + 3)(\mathbb{Z}_2^{-,l})\\ &\quad + (2x_2 + 3)(D_1^{z,l}) + (2x_3 - 2)(\mathbb{Z}_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_l)\\ &= (S_4 \times S^1) - (D_4^{z,l}) - (\mathbb{Z}_4^{c,l}) - (D_2^{d,l}) - (D_3^{z,l}) - (\mathbb{Z}_3^{t,l}) + (\mathbb{Z}_2^{-,l})\\ &\quad + (D_1^{z,l}) \quad \text{(basic degree for } S_4 \times S^1),\end{aligned}$$

and obtain $x_1 = -1$, $x_2 = -1$ and $x_3 = 0$.

7. Periodic Solutions to Symmetric Systems of Newtonian Equations

Let Γ be a finite group and assume that $V = \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthogonal Γ-representation, where the group Γ acts on the the vectors $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ by permuting their coordinates. More precisely, we assume that there is given a group homomorphism $\sigma : \Gamma \to S_N$ (here S_N stands for the permutation group for N-elements), then

$$\gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) := (x_{\sigma(\gamma)1}, x_{\sigma(\gamma)2}, \dots, x_{\sigma(\gamma)N}), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

For a given number $p > 0$, we are interested in finding non-constant periodic solution to the following Newtonian second order system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases}\ddot{x}(t) = -\nabla f(x(t)), \quad x(t) \in V\\ x(0) = x(p),\ \dot{x}(0) = \dot{x}(p),\end{cases}$$

where $f : V \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^2-differentiable function. By rescaling the time, this problem can be reduced to system (2), where $\lambda := \frac{p}{2\pi}$.

We will also introduce the following conditions:

(A1) f is a Γ-invariant function, i.e.

$$\forall_{x\in V}\ \forall_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \quad f(\gamma x) = f(x)$$

(A2) There exists a constant $R > 0$ such that for all $x \in V$ satisfying $\|x\| > R$ we have

$$\nabla f(x) \bullet x < 0.$$

(A3) $\nabla f(0) = 0$ and for a given $p > 0$ the operator $A := \nabla^2 f(0) : V \to V$ satisfy the condition

$$\left\{\frac{p^2\mu^A}{4\pi^2} : \mu^A \in \sigma(A)\right\} \cap \{k^2 : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} = \emptyset.$$

(A4) $\nabla f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \neq 0$.

(A5) For all $x \in V^\Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ we have $f(-x) = f(x)$.

(A6) There exists a symmetric matrix $B \in \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \frac{\nabla f(x) - Bx}{|x|} = 0,$$

and

$$\left\{\frac{p^2\mu^B}{4\pi^2} : \mu^B \in \sigma(B)\right\} \cap \{k^2 : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} = \emptyset.$$

(A7) $\nabla f(0) = 0$ and $0 \notin \sigma(A)$, where $A := \nabla^2 f(0) : V \to V$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $f : V \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1-differential function satisfying the condition (A2). Then there exists a constant $R_o > 0$ such that for any non-constant periodic solution to the system*

$$\ddot{x}(t) = -\rho\nabla f(x(t)) + (1-\rho)x(t), \tag{45}$$

where $\rho \in [0, 1]$ is a fixed number, we have

$$R_o \geq \max\left\{\|x\|_\infty, \|\dot{x}\|_\infty\right\},$$

where $\|y\|_\infty := \sup\{|y(t)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, here y stands for a continuous periodic function.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a non-constant periodic solution to (45). We claim that $|r(t)| \leq M$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume for contradiction that the function

$$r(t) = \frac{1}{2}|x(t)|^2, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

achieves its maximum/minimum at t_o and $|r(t_o)| > M$. If $\rho = 0$ then $x(t)$ is a zero solution. Assume therefore $\rho \in (0, 1]$. Then

$$0 = r'(t_0) = x(t_0) \bullet \dot{x}(t_0)$$

and by (A2)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = r''(t_0) &= |\dot{x}(t_0)|^2 + x(t_0) \bullet \ddot{x}(t_0) \\ &\geq x(t_0) \bullet \ddot{x}(t_0) = x(t_0) \bullet (-\rho\nabla f(x(t_0)) + (1-\rho)x(t_0)) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, $\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |x(t)| \leq M$. On the other hand, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\dot{x}(t)| = \sup_{|v|\leq 1} \dot{x}(t) \bullet v.$$

Hence, assume that $v \in V$ is an arbitrary vector such that $|v| \leq 1$ and define

$$\psi_v(t) = \dot{x}(t) \bullet v, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi_v(t) = \ddot{x}(t) \bullet v, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Put $M' := \sup_{\|x\|\leq R} \|\nabla f(x)\|$. Since $\varphi_v(t) := x(t) \bullet v$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar p-periodic function such that $\varphi_v'(t) = \psi_v(t)$, there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi_v(t_1) = \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \varphi_v(t)$, thus $\varphi_v'(t_1) = \psi_v(t_1) = 0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_v(t)| &= \psi_v(t_1) + \left|\int_{t_1}^t \frac{d}{dt}\psi_v(\tau)d\tau\right| \\ &= \left|\int_{t_1}^t \frac{d}{dt}\psi_v(\tau)d\tau\right| \leq \int_{t_1}^t \left|\frac{d}{dt}\psi_v(\tau)\right| d\tau \\ &= \int_{t_1}^t |\ddot{x}(\tau) \bullet v|d\tau = \int_{t_1}^t |-\rho\nabla f(x(\tau) + (1-\rho)x(\tau)) \bullet v)|d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{t_1}^t (\rho|\nabla f(x(\tau)) + (1-\rho)|x(\tau)|) \,|\cdot|v|d\tau \leq \int_{t_1}^t (|\nabla f(x(\tau))| + |x(\tau)|)\, d\tau \\ &\leq |t - t_1|(M' + M) \leq p(M' + M) =: M_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\forall_{|v|\leq 1} \forall_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \;\; \dot{x}(t) \bullet v \leq M_1.$$

which implies

$$\forall_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \quad |\dot{x}(t)| \leq M_1.$$

Consequently,

$$\forall_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \quad \max\left\{\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|x(t)|, \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|\dot{x}(t)|\right\} \leq \max\{M, M_1\} =: R_o.$$

□

Sobolev Spaces of 2π-Periodic Functions: Let $V = \mathbb{R}^N$ be an orthogonal representation of a finite group Γ and let $\mathscr{H}$ denote the first Sobolev space of 2π-periodic functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to V, i.e.

$$\mathscr{H} := H^1_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}, V) = \{x : \mathbb{R} \to V \ : \ x(0) = x(2\pi),\ x|_{[0,2\pi]} \in H^1([0, 2\pi]; V)\},$$

equipped with the inner product

$$\langle x, y\rangle := \int_0^{2\pi} (\dot{x}(t) \bullet \dot{y}(t) + x(t) \bullet y(t))dt, \quad x, y \in H^1_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}, V).$$

Let $O(2)$ denote the group of 2×2-orthogonal matrices. Notice that $O(2) = SO(2) \cup SO(2)\kappa$, where $\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. It is convenient to identify a rotation $\begin{bmatrix} \cos\tau & -\sin\tau \\ \sin\tau & \cos\tau \end{bmatrix} \in SO(2)$ with $e^{i\tau} \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$. Notice that $\kappa e^{i\tau} = e^{-i\tau}\kappa$. Then the space $\mathscr{H}$ is an orthogonal Hilbert representation of $G := \Gamma \times O(2)$. Indeed, we have for $x \in \mathscr{H}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $e^{i\tau} \in S^1$ we have

$$((\gamma, e^{i\tau})x)(t) = \gamma x\,(t + \tau)$$
$$((\gamma, e^{i\tau}\kappa)x)(t) = \gamma x\,(-t + \tau)\,.$$

It is useful to identify a 2π-periodic function $x : \mathbb{R} \to V$ with a function $\widetilde{x} : S^1 \to V$ via the following commuting diagram:



Using this identification, we will write $H^1(S^1, V)$ instead of $H^1_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}, V)$. In addition, notice that for $x \in \mathscr{H}$, the isotropy group G'_x is finite if and only if x is a non-constant periodic function.

Consider the $O(2)$-isotypical decomposition of $\mathscr{H}$, which is:

$$\mathscr{H} = \overline{\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{V}_k}, \quad \mathscr{V}_k := \{u_k \cos(k \cdot t) + v_k \sin(k \cdot t) : u_k, v_k \in V\}. \tag{46}$$

Each of the components $\mathscr{V}_k$ can be identified G-equivariantly to the following space of complex 2π-periodic functions

$$\mathscr{V}_k \simeq \left\{e^{ikt}(x_k + iy_k) : x_k,\, y_k \in V\right\}, \quad \text{where} \quad x_k = \frac{u_k + v_k}{2},\ y_k = \frac{u_k - v_k}{2}.$$

One can easily notice that the component $\mathscr{V}_0$ is G-equivalent to V and for $k > 0$ the component $\mathscr{V}_k$ is G-equivalent to the complexification $V^c := V \oplus V$ of V, where κ acts on vectors in V^c by conjugation and for $e^{i\tau} \in SO(2) \simeq S^1$, $e^{i\tau}z = e^{ik\tau} \cdot z$, where '$\cdot$' denotes complex multiplication and $z = x + iy \in V^c$. Assume next that

$$V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r$$

is a real Γ-isotypical decomposition of V (with V_j modeled on the j-th irreducible real Γ-representation $\mathcal{V}_j$) and

$$V^c = U_0 \oplus U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_s$$

is a complex Γ-isotypical decomposition of V^c (with U_j modeled on the j-th irreducible complex Γ-representation $\mathcal{U}_j$). Then the G-isotypical decomposition of $\mathscr{H}$ is given by

$$\mathscr{H} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r} V_k \oplus \overline{\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{s} \mathscr{V}_{k,j}},$$

where $\mathscr{V}_{k,j} := \{e^{kt} z : z \in U_j\}$. Clearly, the isotypical component is modeled on the irreducible G-representation $\mathcal{W}_{k,j} := \mathcal{V}_j \otimes \mathcal{U}_k$.

For $k > 0$ we put

$$\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{f}} := \{(G_x) : x \in \mathscr{V}_k \text{ and } x \text{ is a non-constant function}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^{\mathfrak{f}} := \bigcup_{k>0} \Phi_k^{\mathfrak{f}}.$$

Clearly, the order relation in $\Phi(G;\mathscr{H})$ induces an order in $\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{f}}$. Notice that $\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{f}}$ is finite set.

Definition 7.1. An orbit type (H) in $\mathscr{H}$ will be called a *maximal* $\mathfrak{f}$*-orbit type* if and only if (H) is a maximal element in $\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{f}}$ for some $k > 0$. Moreover, two maximal $\mathfrak{f}$-orbit types (H) and (H') will be called *similar* if there exists $(K) \in \Phi^{\mathfrak{f}}$ such that $(K) \geq (H)$ and $(K) \geq (H')$.

Notice that $\mathfrak{f}$-orbit types in $\mathscr{H}$ belong to $\Phi_0(G;\mathscr{H})$ and the similarity of the $\mathfrak{f}$-orbit types is an equivalent relation. Thus, we will call $\mathfrak{f}$-orbit types belonging to different equivalence classes *dissimilar*.

Variational Reformulation of (2)**:** Using the standard arguments the system (2) can be written as the following variational equation

$$\nabla_u J(\lambda, u) = 0, \quad (\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathscr{H}, \tag{47}$$

where $J : \mathbb{R} \times \mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$J(\lambda, u) = \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2}|\dot{u}(t)|^2 - \lambda^2 V(u(t))\right] dt. \tag{48}$$

The gradient $\nabla_u J(\lambda, u)$ can be explicitly expressed as

$$\nabla_u J(\lambda, u) = u - jL^{-1}\left(\lambda^2 N_{\nabla f}(u) + u\right), \quad u \in \mathscr{H}, \tag{49}$$

where

$$j : H^2(S^1, V) \to H^1(S^1, V), \quad ju = u$$
$$L : H^2(S^1, V) \to L^2(S^1, V), \quad Lu = -\ddot{u} + u$$
$$N_{\nabla f} : C(S^1, V) \to L^2(S^1, V), \quad N_{\nabla f}(u)(t) := \nabla f(u(t)),$$

which implies that $\nabla_u J$ is a compact vector field on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathscr{H}$. Moreover, if ∇f is continuously differentiable at 0, with the Hessian matrix $\nabla^2 f(0)$ then $\nabla_u J(\lambda, u)$ is also differentiable at 0 and

$$\nabla^2 J(\lambda, 0) = \mathrm{Id} - jL^{-1}\left(\lambda^2 N_{\nabla^2 f(0)} + \mathrm{Id}\right),$$

where

$$N_{\nabla^2 f(0)} u(t) = \nabla^2 f(0) u(t), \quad u \in C(S^1, V).$$

We put

$$\mathscr{A} := \mathrm{Id} - jL^{-1}\left(\lambda^2 N_{\nabla^2 f(0)} + \mathrm{Id}\right). \tag{50}$$

Notice that if $p > 0$ is a fixed number and $\lambda = \frac{p}{2\pi}$, then $\mathscr{A} : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}$ is an isomorphism if and only if the condition (A3) is satisfied.

7.1. **Existence Results for** (2) **for a Fixed** $p > 0$**.**
Asymptotically Linear System: In this paragraph we will assume that f satisfies the assumptions (A1), (A3), (A4) and (A6). Since $p > 0$ is fixed we assume that $\lambda := \frac{p}{2\pi}$ is also fixed and consider $J : \mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by (48).

We put

$$\mathscr{B} := \mathrm{Id} - jL^{-1}\left(\lambda^2 N_B + \mathrm{Id}\right), \tag{51}$$

where the matrix B is given in (A6). By applying standard arguments (see for example [49, 51]) one can easily obtain the following

Proposition 7.1. *Under the assumptions (A1), (A3), (A6) and (A7), there exists a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sufficiently large $R > 0$ such that*

(a) *∇J and $\mathscr{A}$ are Ω_0-admissibly G-homotopic (here $\Omega_0 := B_\varepsilon(0)$ in $\mathscr{H}$),*
(b) *∇J and $\mathscr{B}$ are Ω_∞-admissibly G-homotopic (here $\Omega_\infty := B_R(0)$ in $\mathscr{H}$),*

Using Proposition 7.1, we can define $\Omega := \Omega_\infty \setminus \overline{\Omega_0}$ so we have (by properties of the gradient degree)

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_G\text{-deg}(\nabla J, \Omega) &= \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\nabla J, \Omega_\infty) - \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\nabla J, \Omega_0)\\ &= \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\mathscr{B}, \Omega_\infty) - \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\mathscr{A}, \Omega_0).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently we obtain the following result

Theorem 7.1. *Under the assumptions (A1), (A3), (A6) and (A7), if*

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}(\nabla J, \Omega) = n_1(H_1) + n_2(H_2) + \cdots + n_k(H_k), \quad n_j \neq 0,\ j = 1, , 2, \ldots, k,$$

then for every $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, the system (2) has a non-constant periodic solution x_j such that $(G_{x_j}) \geq (H_j)$. Moreover, if (H_j) is a maximal $\mathfrak{f}$-orbit type in $\mathscr{H}$ then the orbit $G(x_j)$ contains $|G/H_j|_{S^1}$ periodic non-constant solutions (where $|G/H_j|_{S^1}$ stands for the number of S^1-orbits in G/H_j).

Notice that we have

$$\sigma(\mathscr{A}) := \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma^k(\mathscr{A}), \quad \sigma^k(\mathscr{A}) := \left\{1 - \frac{p^2\mu^A + 4\pi^2}{4\pi^2(k^2+1)} : \mu^A \in \sigma(A)\right\},$$
$$\sigma(\mathscr{B}) := \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma^k(\mathscr{B}), \quad \sigma^k(\mathscr{B}) := \left\{1 - \frac{p^2\mu^B + 4\pi^2}{4\pi^2(k^2+1)} : \mu^B \in \sigma(B)\right\}.$$

Moreover, for $\xi := 1 - \frac{p^2\mu^A + 4\pi^2}{4\pi^2(k^2+1)}$ (resp. $\xi = 1 - \frac{p^2\mu^B + 4\pi^2}{4\pi^2(k^2+1)}$) we have that $m_{k,i}(\xi) = m_i(\mu^A)$ (resp. $m_{k,i}(\xi) = m_i(\mu^A)$). Put,

$$\sigma_0^k := \{\xi \in \sigma^k(\mathscr{A}) : \xi < 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_\infty^k := \{\xi \in \sigma^k(\mathscr{B}) : \xi < 0\}.$$

and Therefore, by (38) we have (by assumption (A7))

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}(\nabla J,\Omega)=\mathfrak{a}*\left[\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\prod_{\xi\in\sigma_\infty^k}\prod_{j=0}^{s}\left(\text{Deg}_{\mathcal{W}_{kj}}\right)^{m_{k,j}(\xi)}-\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\prod_{\xi\in\sigma_0^k}\prod_{j=0}^{s}\left(\text{Deg}_{\mathcal{W}_{kj}}\right)^{m_{k,j}(\xi)}\right]$$

$$\mathfrak{a}:=\prod_{\xi\in\sigma_\infty^0}\prod_{j=0}^{r}\left(\text{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_j}\right)^{m_{0,j}(\xi)}=\prod_{\xi\in\sigma_0^0}\prod_{j=0}^{r}\left(\text{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_j}\right)^{m_{0,j}(\xi)}$$

Example 7.1. Asymptotically Linear System: Let us provide an example of a function $f:V\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfying the assumptions (A1), (A3), (A6) and (A7). Recall that $V:=\mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthogonal Γ-representation and consider two symmetric Γ-equivariant $(N\times N)$-matrices such that $A-B$ (or $B-A$) are positive matrices. Then, for $x\in V$ we define

$$f(x):=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}(Bx\bullet x)+\sqrt{(A-B)x\bullet x+1} & \text{if } A-B>0,\\ \frac{1}{2}(Bx\bullet x)+\sqrt{(B-A)x\bullet x+1} & \text{if } A-B<0.\end{cases}\tag{52}$$

In order to assure that the assumption (A7) is satisfied, notice that, if $\nabla f(x)=0$ for some $x\neq 0$, then $Bx+\alpha(A-B)x=0$ for $\alpha=(|(A-B)x\bullet x|+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}<1$. Therefore, (A7) is satisfied if

$$\forall_{\alpha\in(0,1)}\quad 0\notin\sigma(B+\alpha(A-B)).$$

As a **numerical example**, we consider $p=2\pi$ and $\Gamma=S_4$ acting on $V=\mathbb{R}^4$ by permuting the coordinates of vectors. The space V has the S_4-representations

$$V=V_0\oplus V_3,\tag{53}$$

where V_0 is a one-dimensional trivial S_4-representation and V_3 is a 3-dimensional standard S_4-representation (we use here the convention introduced in [6]). Assume that $f:V\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfies (A1), (A6) and both matrices A and B are of the type

$$C:=\begin{bmatrix}c & d & d & d\\ d & c & d & d\\ d & d & c & d\\ d & d & d & c\end{bmatrix}.$$

The spectrum $\sigma(C)$ consists of two eigenvalues $\mu_0=c+3d$ and $\mu_3=c-d$. here $E(\mu_0)=V_0$ and $E(\mu_3)=V_3$. That means $m_0(\mu_0)=m_3(\mu_3)=1$. We choose the following values of c and d for the matrices A and B

$$\begin{aligned}A:&\quad c=18.8,\ d=-1.5,\\ B:&\quad c=2.1,\ d=1.2.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sigma(A)=\left\{\mu_0^A=14.3,\mu_3^A=20.3\right\}\quad\text{and}\quad\sigma(B)=\left\{\mu_0^B=5.7,\mu_3^B=0.9\right\},$$

which implies the following list for σ_0 and σ_∞ (see Table 8).

	σ_0		σ_∞	
k	$\sigma^{k,1}(\mathscr{A})$	$\sigma^{k,3}(\mathscr{A})$	$\sigma^{k,1}(\mathscr{B})$	$\sigma^{k,3}(\mathscr{B})$
0	-14.3	-20.3	-5.7	-0.9
1	-6.65	-9.65	-2.35	
2	-2.06	-3.26	-0.34	
3	-0.53	-1.13		
4		-0.25		

TABLE 8. List of σ_0 and σ_∞

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_G\text{-}\deg(\nabla J,\Omega) = {} & \boldsymbol{(D_4}^{\boldsymbol{D_2}}\boldsymbol{\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)} - \boldsymbol{(D_2}^{\boldsymbol{D_1}}\boldsymbol{\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)} + (D_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2) + (D_3{}^{D_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_1)\\ & - (D_1{}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_1) + \boldsymbol{(D_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\boldsymbol{\times_{D_4} D_4)} + (D_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_3} D_3) + 3(D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{D_1}\times_{D_2} D_2)\\ & + 5(D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_2) - (V_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_2} D_2) - (D_4{}^{D_2}\times_{D_1} D_1) - 84(D_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)\\ & + 146(\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_1) - \boldsymbol{(D_4}^{\boldsymbol{D_2}}\boldsymbol{\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_4)} - \boldsymbol{(D_2}^{\boldsymbol{D_1}}\boldsymbol{\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_4)} + (\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_4)\\ & - (D_3{}^{D_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_2) + 2(D_1{}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_2) - (\mathbb{Z}_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_2) + \boldsymbol{(D_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\boldsymbol{\times_{D_4} D_8)}\\ & - (D_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_3} D_6) - (D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_4) + (D_4{}^{D_2}\times_{D_1} D_2) + (D_2{}^{D_1}\times_{D_1} D_2)\\ & - (D_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_2) + 2(\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_2) - \boldsymbol{(D_4}^{\boldsymbol{D_2}}\boldsymbol{\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_6)} + \boldsymbol{(D_2}^{\boldsymbol{D_1}}\boldsymbol{\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_6)}\\ & - (D_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_6) - \boldsymbol{(S_4}^{\boldsymbol{S_4}}\boldsymbol{\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_3)} + (D_3{}^{D_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_3) + (D_2{}^{D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_3)\\ & - 2(D_1{}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_3) + (\mathbb{Z}_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_3) - \boldsymbol{(D_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\boldsymbol{\times_{D_4} D_{12})} + (D_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_3} D_9)\\ & - (D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{D_1}\times_{D_2} D_6) + (D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_6) + (V_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_2} D_6) + (D_4{}^{D_2}\times_{D_1} D_3)\\ & - \boldsymbol{(D_4}^{\boldsymbol{D_2}}\boldsymbol{\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_8)} + \boldsymbol{(D_2}^{\boldsymbol{D_1}}\boldsymbol{\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_8)} - (D_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_8) + (D_3{}^{D_3}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_4)\\ & + (D_2{}^{D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_4) - 2(D_1{}^{D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_4) + (\mathbb{Z}_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_1} D_4) - \boldsymbol{(D_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\boldsymbol{\times_{D_4} D_{16})}\\ & + (D_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_3} D_{12}) - (D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{D_1}\times_{D_2} D_8) + (D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_8) + (V_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_2} D_8)\\ & - (D_2{}^{D_1}\times_{D_1} D_4) - (D_1{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_4),\end{aligned}$$

where the orbit types in bold are maximal ones.

Example 7.2. System Satisfying Nagumo Condition: Let us assume that the function $f : V \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the conditions (A1), (A2), (A3) and (A5). Again we assume that $p > 0$ is fixed, i.e. we assume that $\lambda := \frac{p}{2\pi}$ is a fixed number. Clearly, the space $\mathscr{H}$ is a Hilbert representation of $G := \Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times O(2)$ (where $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $x \in \mathscr{H}$ by simple multiplication) and the functional $J : \mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by (48) is G-invariant. One can easily show that, by Lemma 7.1, there exists a sufficiently $R > 0$ such that ∇J is $B_R(0)$-admissible G-homotopic to Id .

Consider the projection $\pi_2 : \Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times O(2) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ onto the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ factor. Clearly, if $x \in \mathscr{H}$ is a constant function then $G_x = K \times \{1\} \times O(2)$, thus $\pi_2(G_x) = \mathbb{Z}_1 \subset \mathbb{Z}_2$. On the other hand, if a non-constant function $x \in \mathscr{H}$ satisfies the condition $x\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + t\right) = -x\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - t\right)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\pi_2(G_x) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, i.e. the homomorphism $\pi_2 : G_x \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is surjective. This justifies the following definition:

Definition 7.2. An orbit type (H) in $\mathscr{H}$ is called $\frac{\pi}{2}$-*anti-reflective* (or simply *anti-reflective*) if $\pi_2 : H \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is surjective. We will also call the anti-reflective orbit types $\mathfrak{ar}$-*types*.

Using Proposition 7.1, we can define $\Omega_0 := B_\varepsilon(0)$, $\Omega_\infty := B_R(0)$ and $\Omega := \Omega_\infty \setminus \overline{\Omega_0}$.

Remark 7.1. Notice that if (H) is an $\mathfrak{ar}$-orbit type in Ω and $(K) \geq (H)$ then (K) is also $\mathfrak{ar}$-orbit type.

Then we have (by properties of the gradient degree)

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_G\text{-deg}(\nabla J, \Omega) &= \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\nabla J, \Omega_\infty) - \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\nabla J, \Omega_0)\\ &= \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\mathrm{Id}\,, \Omega_\infty) - \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\mathscr{A}, \Omega_0)\\ &= (G) - \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\mathscr{A}, \Omega_0)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}(\mathscr{A}, \Omega_0) = \mathfrak{a} * \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{\xi \in \sigma_0^k} \prod_{j=0}^{s} \left(\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{W}_{kj}}\right)^{m_{k,j}(\xi)}.$$

Consequently we obtain the following result

Theorem 7.2. *Under the assumptions (A1), (A2), (A3) and (A5), if*

$$\nabla_G\text{-deg}(\nabla J, \Omega) = n_1(H_1) + n_2(H_2) + \cdots + n_k(H_k), \quad n_j \neq 0,\ j = 1,, 2, \ldots, k,$$

then for every $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, such that (H_j) is an $\mathfrak{ar}$-orbit type, the system (2) has a non-constant periodic solution x_j such that $(G_{x_j}) \geq (H_j)$. Moreover, if (H_j) is a maximal $\mathfrak{f}$-orbit type in $\mathscr{H}$ then the orbit $G(x_j)$ contains $|G/H_j|_{S^1}$ periodic non-constant solutions (where $|G/H_j|_{S^1}$ stands for the number of S^1-orbits in G/H_j).

As a numerical example, we consider $p = 2\pi$ and $\Gamma = D_4$ acting on $V = \mathbb{R}^4$ by permuting the coordinates of vectors, i.e.,

$$\gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^T = (x_4, x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^T = (x_4, x_3, x_2, x_1)^T.$$

The space V has $(D_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$-isotypical decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}V &= V_0^- \oplus V_1^- \oplus V_2^-\\ &= V_0 \otimes \mathfrak{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^- \oplus V_1 \otimes \mathfrak{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^- \oplus V_2 \otimes \mathfrak{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^-,\end{aligned}$$

where V_0 is the one-dimensional trivial D_4-representation, V_1 is the 2-dimensional D_4-representation (we use here the convention introduced in []), V_2 is the one-dimensional D_4-representation on which $\widetilde{D}_2$ acts trivially and $\mathfrak{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^-$ is the one-dimensional faithful $\mathbb{Z}_2$-representation. To be more explicit,

$$\begin{aligned}V_0^- &= \mathrm{span}\left\{(1,1,1,1)^T\right\}, \quad V_2^- = \mathrm{span}\left\{(1,-1,1,-1)^T\right\},\\ V_1^- &= \mathrm{span}\left\{(1,0,-1,0)^T, (0,1,0,-1)^T\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Assume that $f : V \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (A1), (A6) and

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} c & d & 0 & d\\ d & c & d & 0\\ 0 & d & c & d\\ d & 0 & d & c\end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $\sigma(A) = \{\mu_0 = c + 2d, \mu_1 = c, \mu_2 = c - 2d\}$, where the corresponding eigenspaces are V_0^-, V_1^- and V_2^-. To demonstrate the computation, take $c = 3.1$, $d = 1.2$. Then,

$$\sigma(A) = \{\mu_0 = 5.5, \mu_1 = 3.1, \mu_2 = 0.7\},$$

which implies the following list for σ_0 (see Table 9).

k	$\sigma^{k,0}(\mathscr{A})$	$\sigma^{k,1}(\mathscr{A})$	$\sigma^{k,2}(\mathscr{A})$
0	-5.5	-3.1	-0.7
1	-2.25	-1.05	
2	-0.3		

TABLE 9. List of σ_0

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_G\text{-}\deg(\nabla J,\Omega) = {} & (D_4\times O(2))+(D_4^{\tilde d}\times O(2))+(\widetilde D_2^{\tilde d}\times O(2))\\
& -(\widetilde D_2\times O(2))+(D_2^{d}\times O(2))-(D_1^{d}\times O(2))\\
& -(D_1\times O(2))-(\mathbb{Z}_2^{z}\times O(2))+(\mathbb{Z}_1\times O(2))\\
& +(D_4^{2\ D_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)-(D_4^{\tilde d\ \widetilde D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)+\boldsymbol{(D_2^{2\ D_2^d}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)}\\
& +\boldsymbol{(\widetilde D_2^{2\ \widetilde D_2^{\tilde d}}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)}-(\widetilde D_1^{2\ \widetilde D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)-(\widetilde D_2^{\tilde d\ \widetilde D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)\\
& -(\widetilde D_2^{\tilde d\ \mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)-(\widetilde D_2\ ^{\widetilde D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)-(D_1^{2\ D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)\\
& -(D_2^{d\ D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)-(D_2^{d\ \mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)-(D_2^{z\ D_1^d}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)\\
& -(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2\ \mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)+2(D_1^{d\ \mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)+(\widetilde D_1^{\tilde d\ \mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)\\
& +(\widetilde D_1\ ^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)+(\mathbb{Z}_2^{z\ \mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)+(\mathbb{Z}_2\ ^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)\\
& +(\mathbb{Z}_1^{2\ \mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_2)-(\widetilde D_2^{\tilde d}\times D_1)-(D_2^{d}\times D_1)\\
& +(D_1^{d}\times D_1)+(D_1\times D_1)+2(\widetilde D_1\times D_1)\\
& +2(\mathbb{Z}_2^{z}\times D_1)-4(\mathbb{Z}_1\times D_1)+\boldsymbol{(D_4^{2\ \mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{D_4} D_4)}\\
& -(D_4^{d\ \mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_4} D_4)-(D_4\ ^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_4} D_4)-(D_2^{2\ D_1}_{\ D_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_2)\\
& -(D_2^{2\ D_1}_{\ D_2}\times_{D_2} D_2)-(D_2^{2\ \mathbb{Z}_2^z}_{\ \mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_2)-(\widetilde D_2^{2\ \widetilde D_1}_{\ \widetilde D_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_2)\\
& -(\widetilde D_2^{2\ \widetilde D_1}_{\ \widetilde D_2}\times_{D_2} D_2)-(\widetilde D_2^{2\ \mathbb{Z}_2^z}_{\ \mathbb{Z}_2^2}\times_{D_2} D_2)+(\widetilde D_1^{2\ \mathbb{Z}_1}_{\ \mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_2)\\
& +(\widetilde D_2^{\tilde d\ \mathbb{Z}_1}_{\ \widetilde D_1^{\tilde d}}\times_{D_2} D_2)+(\widetilde D_2^{\tilde d\ \mathbb{Z}_1}_{\ \widetilde D_1}\times_{D_2} D_2)+(\widetilde D_2^{z\ \mathbb{Z}_1}_{\ \mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_2)\\
& +(\widetilde D_2\ ^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_2)+(D_1^{2\ \mathbb{Z}_1}_{\ \mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_2)+(D_2^{d\ \mathbb{Z}_1}_{\ D_1^d}\times_{D_2} D_2)\\
& +(D_2^{d\ \mathbb{Z}_1}_{\ D_1}\times_{D_2} D_2)+(D_2^{z\ \mathbb{Z}_1}_{\ D_1^d}\times_{D_2} D_2)+(D_2^{z\ \mathbb{Z}_1}_{\ \mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_2)\\
& +(D_2\ ^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_2)+(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2\ \mathbb{Z}_1}_{\ \mathbb{Z}_2}\times_{D_2} D_2)+(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2\ \mathbb{Z}_1}_{\ \mathbb{Z}_1^2}\times_{D_2} D_2)\\
& -(D_4^{2\ D_4}\times_{D_1} D_1)+(D_4^{\tilde d\ \widetilde D_2}\times_{D_1} D_1)+(\widetilde D_1^{2\ \widetilde D_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)\\
& +2(\widetilde D_2^{\tilde d\ \widetilde D_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)+(\widetilde D_2^{\tilde d\ \mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{D_1} D_1)+(\widetilde D_2\ ^{\widetilde D_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)\\
& +(D_1^{2\ D_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)+2(D_2^{d\ D_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)+(D_2^{d\ \mathbb{Z}_2^z}\times_{D_1} D_1)\\
& -4(D_1^{d\ \mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)-(D_1\ ^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)-2(\widetilde D_1^{\tilde d\ \mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)\\
& -2(\widetilde D_1\ ^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)-4(\mathbb{Z}_2^{z\ \mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)-(\mathbb{Z}_2\ ^{\mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)\\
& -(\mathbb{Z}_1^{2\ \mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{D_1} D_1)+\boldsymbol{(D_4^{2\ D_4}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_4)}-(D_4^{\tilde d\ \widetilde D_2}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_4)\\
& -(\widetilde D_2^{\tilde d\ \widetilde D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_4)-(D_2^{d\ D_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_4)+(D_1^{d\ \mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_4)\\
& +(\mathbb{Z}_2^{z\ \mathbb{Z}_1}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_4)-(D_4\times D_2)+(\widetilde D_2\times D_2)\\
& +(D_1\times D_2)-(\mathbb{Z}_1\times D_2),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the underlined orbit types are maximal ones.

7.2. **Bifurcation-Type of Existence Results for** (2)**.** In this subsection we are interested in studying the existence of branches of periodic (non-constant) solutions to (1) emerging from the zero solution. We assume that the function f satisfies the conditions (A1) and (A7). By rescaling the time this problem can be reformulated as (2), where $\lambda > 0$ is considered as an additional parameter. Next, we reformulate the equation (2) in the functional space $\mathscr{H}$ as the bifurcation problem

$$\nabla J(\lambda, x) = 0, \quad \lambda > 0, \tag{54}$$

where the functional $J : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by (49), i.e. we are looking for branches of non-constant periodic solutions (λ, x_λ) bifurcating from $(\lambda_o, 0)$ (for some $\lambda_o > 0$).

Put $G := \Gamma \times \times O(2)$. Then clearly, J is a G-invanriat functional.

Define the operators $\mathscr{A}(\lambda) : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}$ by

$$\mathscr{A}(\lambda) := \mathrm{Id} \, - jL^{-1} \left(\lambda^2 N_{\nabla^2 f(0)} + \mathrm{Id}\right).$$

and put $\Lambda := \{\lambda > 0 : \ \mathscr{A}(\lambda) : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H} \ \text{ is not an isomorphism}\}$, i.e.

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \lambda = \frac{k}{\sqrt{\mu^A}} : k \in \mathbb{N}, \ \mu^A \in \sigma(A), \ \mu^A > 0 \right\}.$$

Then, the necessary condition for a point $(\lambda_o, 0)$ to be a bifurcation point for (54) is that $\lambda_o \in \Lambda$, which implies that for some $k_o > 0$ there exists $\mu_o \in \sigma(A)$ $(\mu_o > 0)$, so $\lambda_o^2 = \frac{k_o^2+1}{\mu_o}$. Then by choosing a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ such that $[\lambda_o^-, \lambda_o^+] \cap \Lambda = \{\lambda_o\}$, where $\lambda_o^\pm := \lambda_o \pm \delta$. Then we put

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\lambda_o^\pm} &= \sigma_-(\mathscr{A}(\lambda_o^\pm)) := \{\xi \in \sigma(\mathscr{A}(\lambda_o^\pm)) : \xi < 0\} \\
&= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \xi = 1 - \frac{(\lambda_o^\pm)^2 \mu + 1}{k^2 + 1} : \mu \in \sigma(A), \ \xi < 0 \right\} \\
&=: \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{\lambda_o^\pm}^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, clearly

$$\sigma_{\lambda_o^+} = \sigma_{\lambda_o^-} \cup \{\xi_o\}, \quad \text{where } \ \xi_o := 1 - \frac{(\lambda_o^+)^2 + 1\mu_o}{k_o^2 + 1}.$$

For each $\lambda_o \in \Lambda$), we choose a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and put $\Omega_o := B_\varepsilon(0)$. Then we define the G-equivariant bifurcation invariant $\omega(\lambda_o) \in U(G)$ by

$$\omega(\lambda_o) := \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\nabla J(\lambda_o^-, \cdot), \Omega_p) - \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\nabla J(\lambda_o^+, \cdot), \Omega_p).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(\lambda_o) &= \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\mathscr{A}(\lambda_o^-), \Omega_o) - \nabla_G\text{-deg}(\mathscr{A}(\lambda_o^+), \Omega_o) \\
&= \mathfrak{a} * \prod_{k \neq k_o}^{\infty} \prod_{\xi \in \sigma^k_{\lambda_o^-}} \prod_{j=0}^{s} \left(\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{W}_{kj}}\right)^{m_{k,j}(\xi)} * \left[(G) - \prod_{j=0}^{s} \left(\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{W}_{k_o j}}\right)^{m_{k_o,j}(\xi_o)} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{a} := \prod_{\xi \in \sigma^0_{\lambda_o^-}} \prod_{j=0}^{r} \left(\mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_j}\right)^{m_{0,j}(\xi)}.$$

The following result is a direct consequence of the properties of the equivariant degree theory.

Theorem 7.3. *Assume that the function f satisfies the conditions (A1) and (A7) and $\lambda_o \in \Lambda$. Suppose that*

$$\omega(\lambda_o) = n_1(H_1) + n_2(H_2) + \cdots + n_k(H_k), \quad n_j \neq 0,\ j = 1,, 2, \ldots, k.$$

Then for every $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, the system (2) has a branch of non-constant 2π-periodic solutions $\{(\lambda_s, x_s)\}$ bifurcating from $(\lambda_o, 0)$ such that $(G_{x_s}) \geq (H_j)$. This is equivalent to the existence of a branch of non-constant p_s-periodic solutions $\{x_s\}$ of the system (1) emerging from 0 with the limit period $p_o = 2\pi\lambda_o$). Moreover, if (H_j) is a maximal $\mathfrak{f}$-orbit type in $\mathscr{H}$ then the orbit $G(x_s)$ contains $|G/H_j|_{S^1}$ periodic non-constant solutions (where $|G/H_j|_{S^1}$ stands for the number of S^1-orbits in G/H_j).

Remark 7.2. Under additional assumption (A4), consider the set

$$\mathcal{S} := \{(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathscr{H} : \nabla J(\lambda, x) = 0 \ \text{ and } x \neq 0\}.$$

Then by the well-known results (see for example [16]) we have that $(0, 0) \notin \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ (we also sketched the proof in the Appendix). Therefore,

$$\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \cap \overline{\mathcal{S}} \subset \Lambda \times \{0\}.$$

Then, we have the following well-known (see for example [29]) Rabinowitz-type (cf. [46]) global 'bifurcation' result: *Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a connected bounded component of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is compact*

$$\Lambda \times \{0\} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{(\lambda_1, , 0), (\lambda_2, 0), \ldots, (\lambda_k, 0)\}$$

and

$$\omega(\lambda_1) + \omega(\lambda_2) + \cdots + \omega(\lambda_k) = 0.$$

Remark 7.3. In this paper for the sake of simplicity we consider only the case of a non-degenerate stationary solutions for (1). However, similar methods can be applied to study the existence of periodic solutions of autonomous Hamiltonian systems emanating from degenerate stationary solutions (see for example [16, 48, 24]).

In summary, under the assumptions (A1) and (A7), the existence of branches of non-constant periodic solutions for the system (1) emanating from the origin and their symmetric properties are topologically classified by the set $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ (which provides the information about the limit periods) and the values of the equivariant invariants $\{\omega(\lambda_1), \omega(\lambda_2), \ldots, \omega(\lambda_k)\}$, which describe the possible symmetries of these branches of periodic solutions.

Example 7.3. As a **numerical example** we consider $\Gamma = S_4$ acting on $V = \mathbb{R}^4$ by permuting the coordinates of vectors. This representation was already analyzed in Example 7.1, including the S_4-isotypical decomposition $V = V_0 \oplus V_3$. We assume that $f : V \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (A1), (A7) and the matrices A is of the type

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} c & d & d & d \\ d & c & d & d \\ d & d & c & d \\ d & d & d & c \end{bmatrix}$$

where $c = 18.8$ and $d = -1.5$. Then $\sigma(A) = \{\mu_0^A = 14.3,\ \mu_3^A = 20.3\}$ which implies that

$$\sigma(\mathscr{A}(\lambda)) = \left\{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 \cdot 14.3 + 1}{k^2 + 1},\ 1 - \frac{\lambda^2 \cdot 20.3 + 1}{k^2 + 1} : k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda &= \left\{ \lambda_3^k := \frac{k}{\sqrt{20.3}},\ \lambda_0^k := \frac{k}{\sqrt{14.3}} : k \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ &= \{\lambda_3^1 = 0.22194838,\ \lambda_0^1 = 0.26444294,\ \lambda_3^2 = 0.44389676,\ \lambda_0^2 = 0.52888589, \dots\}.\end{aligned}$$

By using our computational database, the exact values of the G-equivariant bifurcation invariants $\omega(\lambda_3^k)$ and $\omega(\lambda_0^k)$ can be easily evaluated. For example we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(\lambda_3^1) &= (S_4 \times O(2)) - \mathrm{Deg}_{\mathcal{V}_{3,1}} \\ &= (\boldsymbol{D_4}^{\boldsymbol{D_2}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \boldsymbol{D_2}) + (D_3 \times D_1) + (\boldsymbol{D_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{\boldsymbol{D_4}} \boldsymbol{D_4}) \\ &- (\boldsymbol{D_2}^{\boldsymbol{D_1}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \boldsymbol{D_2}) + (D_3{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_3} D_3) - (D_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1}_{D_1} \times_{D_2} D_2) - (V_4{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_2} D_2) \\ &- (D_2{}^{D_1} \times_{D_1} D_1) - (\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D_{2l}) - 2(D_1 \times D_1) + (\mathbb{Z}_2{}^{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times_{D_1} D_1) \\ &+ (\mathbb{Z}_1 \times D_1),\end{aligned}$$

where the maximal orbit types are marked in bold.

7.3. **Appendix: Minimal period of Non-constant periodic solutions.** Suppose that the function f satisfies the assumptions (A1), (A4) and (A7). Let us use the following result of Vidossich (cf. [57])

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a Banach space, $V : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{E}$ a p-periodic function with the following properties:*

(i) *V is integrable and $\int_0^p V(t)dt = 0$*
(ii) *There exists $U \in L^1([0, p/2]; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\|V(t) - V(s)\| \le U(t-s)$ for almost all t, s with $0 \le s \le t \le p$ and $t - s \le p/2$.*

Then

$$p \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|V(t)\| \le 2 \int_0^{\frac{p}{2}} U(t)dt.$$

Consider a non-constant p-periodic solution $x(t)$ to (1) and assume that $M > 0$ is a constant such that $\|x(t)\| \le M$. Put $V(t) = \dot{x}(t)$. Then we have for $o \le s \le t \le p$

$$\begin{aligned}|\dot{x}(t) - \dot{x}(s)| &\le \sup_{|x|\le M} \|\nabla^2 f(x)\| \int_s^t |\dot{x}(\tau)|\tau d\tau \\ &\le 2p \sup_{|x|\le M} \|\nabla^2 f(x)\| \cdot \sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}} |\dot{x}(\tau)| \cdot (t-s).\end{aligned}$$

Put $K := \sup_{|x|\le M} \|\nabla^2 f(x)\|$ and $U(t) := 2pK \sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}} |\dot{x}(\tau)|t$. Then by Lemma 7.2 we have

$$p \sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}} |\dot{x}(\tau)| \le 2 \int_0^{\frac{p}{2}} U(t)dt = 4pK \sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}} |\dot{x}(\tau)| \frac{p^2}{4},$$

which implies

$$p^2 \ge \frac{1}{K}.$$

References

[1] A. Abbondandolo, *Morse Theory for Hamiltonian Systems.* Chapman & Hall/ CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2001.

[2] H. Amann, E. Zehnder, Periodic solutions of asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems, Manuscr. Math. 32 (1980) 149189.

[3] A. Ambrosetti, Branching points for a class of variational operators, J. Anal. Math. 76 (1998) 321335.

[4] Z. Balanov, W. Krawcewicz, Z. Li and M. Nguyen, Multiple Solutions to Implicit Symmetric Boundary Value Problems for Second Order Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs): Equivariant Degree Approach. Symmetry, Vol 5 (4) , (2013), 287-312.

[5] Z. Balanov, W. Krawcewicz and H. Steinlein, *Applied Equivariant Degree.* AIMS Series on Differential Equations & Dynamical Systems, Vol. 1, 2006.

[6] Z. Balanov, W. Krawcewicz and H. Ruan, Periodic solutions to $O(2) \times S^1$-symmetric variational problems: Equivariant gradient degree approach. Nonlinear analysis and optimization II. Optimization, 45, Contemp. Math., 514, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[7] Z. Balanov, W. Krawcewicz, S. Rybicki and H. Steinlein, A short treatise on the equivariant degree theory and its applications, J. Fixed Point Theory App. 8 (2010), 1–74.

[8] K. Bauer, D. Sen, P. Zvengrowski, Generalized Goursat Lemma, `arXiv:1109.0024`

[9] F.G Browder, W.V Petryshyn, Approximation methods and the generalized topological degree for non-linear mappings in a Banach space, J. Functional Anal., 3 (1969), pp. 217245

[10] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, Estimate of the number of periodic solutions via the twist number, J. Differential Equations 133 (1997) 117135.

[11] M. Dabkowski, W. Krawcewicz, Y. Lv, Twisted $\Gamma \times \mathbb{T}^n$-Equivariant Degree with n-Parameters: Computational Formulae and Applications, to appear in JFPTA (2016).

[12] G.E. Bredon, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1972.

[13] T. Bröcker and T. tom Dieck, *Representations of Compact Lie Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1985.

[14] T. Bartsch, S. Li, Critical point theory for asymptotically quadratic functionals and applications to problems with resonance, Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl. 28 (3) (1997) 419441.

[15] F. Clarke and I. Ekeland, Hamiltonian trajectories having prescribed minimal period, Communs Pure Appl. Math., 33(1980), 103-116.

[16] E. N. Dancer and S. Rybicki, A note on periodic solutions of autonomous Hamiltonian systems emanating from degenerate stationary solutions, Differential Integral Equations, 12, Number 2 (1999), 147-160.

[17] T. tom Dieck, *Transformation Groups.* Walter de Gruyter, 1987.

[18] I. Ekeland, Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian equations and a theorem of P. Rabinowitz, J. Differential equations, 34(1979),523-534.

[19] I. Ekeland, *Convexity Methods in Hamiltonian Mechanics.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin,1990.

[20] I. Ekeland and H. Hofer, Periodic solutions with prescribed period for convex autonomous Hamiltonian systems, Invent. Math., 81(1985),155-188.

[21] G. Fei, S. Kim and T. Wang, Minimal period estimates of periodic solutions for superquadratic Hamiltonian systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 238(1999), 216-233.

[22] G. Fei, S. Kim and T. Wang, Solutions of minimal period for even classical Hamiltonian systems, Nonlinear Anal., 43(2001), 363-375.

[23] J. Fura, A. Ratajczak and S. Rybicki, *Existence and continuation of periodic solutions of autonomous Newtonian systems,* J. Diff. Eqns **218** (2005), 216-252.

[24] J. Fura, A. Golebiewska and H. Ruan, Existence of nonstationary periodic solutions for Γ-symmetric asymptotically linear autonomous Newtonian systems with degeneracy, Rocky Moun. J. Mat., 40, No.3 (2010), 873-911.

[25] K. Gęba, *Degree for gradient equivariant maps and equivariant Conley index.* In: Topological Nonlinear Analysis, II (Frascati, 1995), Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 27, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997, 247–272.

[26] K. Geba and S. Rybicki, Some remarks on the Euler ring $U(G)$. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 3 (2008), no. 1, 143–158.

[27] M. Girardi and M. Matzeu, Some results on solutions of minimal period to superquadratic Hamiltonian systems, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 7(1983), 475-482.

[28] M. Girardi and M. Matzeu, Essential critical points of linking type and solutions of minimal period to superquadratic Hamiltonian systems, Nonlinear Anal., 19(1992), 237-247.

[29] A. Gołębiewska and S. Rybicki, Degree for invariant strongly indefinite functionals, (April, 2007), preprint,

[30] C. Garcia-Azpeitia, J. Ize, Global bifurcation of planar and spatial periodic solutions in the restricted n-body problem, Celestial Mechanics and Dynam. Astronomy, Vol.110, 217-237, 2011

[31] C. Garcia-Azpeitia, J. Ize, Global bifurcation of polygonal relative equilibria for masses, vortices and dNLS oscillators, J.D.E, 2011,

[32] C. Garcia-Azpeitia, J. Ize, Global bifurcation of planar and spatial periodic solutions from the polygonal relative equilibria for the n-body problem, JDE, 2011.
[33] C. Garcia-Azpeitia, J. Ize, Bifurcation of periodic solutions from a ring configuration in the vortex and filament problems, JDE, 2011.
[34] C. Garcia-Azpeitia, J. Ize, Bifurcation of periodic solutions from a ring configuration of discrete nonlinear oscillator, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, 2011.
[35] M. Golubitsky, I.N. Stewart and D.G. Schaeffer, *Singularities and Groups in Bifurcation Theory, Vol. II*, Applied Mathematical Sciences 69, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1988.
[36] K. Kawakubo, *The Theory of Transformation Groups.* The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, 1991.
[37] W. Krawcewicz and J. Wu, Theory of Degrees with Applications to Bifurcations and Differential Equations, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997.
[38] Z. Li, Equivariant Degree Computational Software for $A(\Gamma)$-module $A(\Gamma \times S^1)$, developed at University of Texas at Dallas, 2015.
[39] Y. Long, The minimal period problem of classical Hamiltonian systems with even potentials, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 10(1993), 605- 626.
[40] Y. Long, Nonlinear oscillations for classical Hamiltonian systems with bi-even subquadratic potentials, Nonlinear Analysis TMA, 24(1995), 1665-1671.
[41] Y. Long, On the minimal period for periodic solutions of nonlinear Hamiltonian systems, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B, 18(1997), 481-484.
[42] K. H. Mayer, G-invariante Morse-Funktionen. Manuscripta Math. 63 (1989), 99114.
[43] P. Magrone, Critical point methods for indefinite nonlinear Elliptic equations and Hamiltonian systems, Universitá Degli Studi Di Roma "Tor Vergata", PhD Thesis, 2001.
[44] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems, Applied Math. Sciences, Vol. 74, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
[45] P. Rabinowitz, Periodic solutions of Hamitonian systems, Communs Pure Appl. Math., 31(1978), 157-184.
[46] P. H. Rabinowitz, Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 65, American Mathematical Society, 1986.
[47] W. Radzki, Degenerate Branching Points of Autonomous Hamiltonian Systems, Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl. 55 (1–2) (2003) 153166.
[48] W. Radzki, S. Rybicki, Degenerate bifurcation points of periodic solutions of autonomous Hamiltonian systems, J. Differential Equations 202 (2) (2004) 284305.
[49] H. Ruan and S. Rybicki, Applications of equivariant degree for gradient maps to symmetric Newtonian systems, Nonlinear Anal. (2008), 1479-1516.
[50] K. Rybakowski, On the homotopy index for infinite dimensional semiflows, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 269, (1982), 351-382.
[51] S. Rybicki, *A degree for $SO(2)$-equivariant orthogonal maps and its applications to bifurcation theory.* Nonlinear Anal. **23** (1994), 83–102.
[52] S. Rybicki, *Applications of degree for $SO(2)$-equivariant gradient maps to variational nonlinear problems with $SO(2)$-symmetries.* Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **9** (1997), 383–417.
[53] S. Rybicki, *Degree for $SO(2)$-equivariant strongly indefinite functionals.* Nonlinear Anal. **43** (2001), 1001–1017.
[54] S. Rybicki, *Bifurcations of solutions of $SO(2)$-symmetric nonlinear problems with variational structure.* In: Handbook of Topological Fixed Point Theory (eds. R. Brown, M. Furi, L. Górniewicz and B. Jiang), Springer, 2005, 339–372.
[55] S. Rybicki, *Degree for equivariant gradient maps.* Milan J. Math. **73** (2005), 103–144.
[56] E.H. Spanier, Algebraic Topology, McGraw-Hill Book Co, New York-Toronto-London, 1966.
[57] G. Vidossich, On the structure of periodic solutions of differential equations, J. Differential Equations, 21, 263-278.
[58] Z.Q. Wang, Symmetries and calculation of the degree, Chinese Ann. Math.10 (1989) 520536.
[59] H-P. Wu, GAP program for the computations of the Burnside ring $A(\Gamma \times O(2))$, `https://bitbucket.org/psistwu/gammao2`, developed at University of Texas at Dallas, 2016.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, 75080-3021, U.S.A.
E-mail address: mdab@utdallas.edu

Department of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, 75080-3021, U.S.A., and, College of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, 510006 China
E-mail address: wieslaw@utdallas.edu

Department of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, 75080-3021, U.S.A., and, College of Science, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, 443002, P.R.C.
E-mail address: yxl103720@utdallas.edu

Department of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, 75080-3021, U.S.A.
E-mail address: hxw132130@utdallas.edu